

Appendix 2.12 - Comments on SA63 of the Local Plan: Site Allocations Regulation 18 consultation Feb-Mar 2015

Respondent ID	Comment ID	Respondent	Topic	Summary of Response	Council Response
3	SA2860	Edel Brosnan (unknown)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
12	SA2861	Fiona Sutherland (adjacent resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
13	SA2862	Billie Regnier (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
14	SA2863	Robert Darnell Bradley (adjacent resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
15	SA2864	Nora Kilgannon and Yutaka Hamano (adjacent residents)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
16	SA2865	Lynne Darnell (adjacent resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
17	SA2866	Lorna Carsley (Tottenham resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
18	SA2867	Deborah Berger (N17 resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
19	SA2868	Sacha Armitage (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
20	SA2869	Caroline Carter	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
21	SA2870	Trevor Banthorpe	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
22	SA2871	Nick Putz (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
23	SA2872	Robert Samuel Hall (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
28	SA2873	Sylvie Dupuy (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
29	SA2874	S T Khatoon (site resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
30	SA2875	Theo Kindynis	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
31	SA2876	Friedrich Ernst (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
33	SA2877	Richard Abbott (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
34	SA2878	Candy Jannetta-Porter	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
35	SA2879	Nicola Hammond	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
36	SA2880	Ruth Hastings Iqball and	Breach of planning	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

		Mohamed Iqball	policies		be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
37	SA2881	Thomas Gray (site resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
38	SA2882	Sally Stewart, Pete Franklin and Francesco DiManicor (local residents)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
39	SA2883	Ruth Green	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
40	SA2884	Stephen Reynolds and Jose Cano Ruiz	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
41	SA2885	M Duerden & K Klier (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
42	SA2886	Alison Johnston	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
43	SA2887	Stephanie Grant (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
44	SA2888	Paul Chapman, Carol Chapman, Nicola Chapman, Mark Chapman, Claire Hinchliff, Jo Hart, James Askham, Gary Clarke, Tara Houlihan and Thomas Dunn	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
45	SA2889	Duncan Johnson (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
50	SA2890	Dr Sarah Pucill	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
51	SA2891	Alex Berry	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
52	SA2892	Mona	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
53	SA2893	Bertha Bruce-Larbi	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
54	SA2894	Cassie & Michael Birtwistle (local residents)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
55	SA2895	Sarah Masters (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
56	SA2896	Joan George	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
57	SA2897	Kate Priest (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
58	SA2898	Wei Pan	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
59	SA2899	Kiran Gungadin	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
60	SA2900	Fabio Monteforte (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
61	SA2901	Natasha William (Tottenham	Breach of planning	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

		resident)	policies		be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
62	SA2902	David Wheatley (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
63	SA2903	Genet Menalu (site resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
64	SA2904	Gulem Ezer	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
65	SA2905	Ezer family	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
66	SA2906	James Blond	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
67	SA2907	Amani Hussein (site resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
68	SA2908	Pat Devereaux	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
69	SA2909	Mr Artur Stefanowicz and Mrs Agnieszka Stefanowicz (adjacent residents)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
70	SA2910	Kevin O'Connell	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
71	SA2911	Dhavan Abiyani	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
72	SA2912	Mr and Mrs Osborne	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
73	SA2913	Grace Dyer (site resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
74	SA2914	Dee Seale	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
75	SA2915	Keith Lemon (site resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
76	SA2916	Caesar Lalobo (site resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
77	SA2917	Lorna Waite (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
78	SA2918	Tim Rawe	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
79	SA2919	Seda Mertdjan	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
80	SA2920	Murray Wyatt	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
81	SA2921	Vadivelu Yogaratnam	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
82	SA2922	Ozlem Arslan	Breach of planning	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

			policies		be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
83	SA2923	Elif Arslan	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
84	SA2924	Tiborfialka	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
85	SA2925	Nathan Wilson	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
86	SA2926	Dugan Warn (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
88	SA2927	Eve Ellis	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
89	SA2928	Storm Moncur	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
90	SA2929	Tessa Forbes	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
91	SA2930	Martina Koepcke	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
92	SA2931	Elize Truter	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
93	SA2932	Louisa Livermore (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

94	SA2933	Khahir (adjacent resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
95	SA2934	John and Murielle Porter-Weiss	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
96	SA2935	Joanne Milmoe and Daniel Rudd	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
97	SA2936	Miss J Turnbull	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community. In deads (sic) of property it says lord walk gave this area for local people to enjoy and walk through. We do not want our park touched.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
98	SA2937	Joe Syers	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect our green spaces.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
99	SA2938	Catherine Riley (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
100	SA2939	Jonathan Sampaney	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
101	SA2940	Alec Peschlow	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
102	SA2941	Joanna Monaghan	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
103	SA2942	Valentin Kovalenko and Chiara Contrino	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
104	SA2943	Francis Blake and Alexandra Harley	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
106	SA2944	Karen and Jodi Ahmed	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
107	SA2945	Matt Burns	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
120	SA2946	Chris Hutton (site resident)	Breach of planning policies	Proposals contravene planning policies and will cause stress and disruption and take away local facilities i.e. community centre, football pitches and the recreation ground, for which the community fought for National Lottery funding. The community centre was provided by the government (after 1985 riots) as there was a lack of facilities particularly for youth in the area.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
109	SA2947	Will Embliss (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
110	SA2948	Candy Amsden	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
111	SA2949	Ann McTaggart	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
112	SA2950	Zoe Fox (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
7	SA2951	Peter Thomlinson (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
113	SA2952	Sophie Wolchover	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
114	SA2953	Jackie Ekim	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

115	SA2954	Lucy Edkins	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
116	SA2955	Candice Sly	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
117	SA2956	Heather Beverley (adjacent resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
118	SA2957	Dorota Gnyp	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
123	SA2958	Samantha, Zoe and Pamela Wyles	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
127	SA2959	Luci Davin (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
149	SA2960	Martin Braund	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
152	SA2961	Helen Oliver (adjacent resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
155	SA2962	David Corio (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Serious breach of planning policies which should protect community including a blatant misuse of lottery funding.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
156	SA2963	Martyna M	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
158	SA2964	Angela and Tony Baker	Breach of planning	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

			policies		be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
161	SA2965	Fr James Hill	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
164	SA2966	Holly and Michael Browne (local residents)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
173	SA2967	Jonathan Maris	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
167	SA2968	Reba Johnson	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
183	SA2969	Michelle Lawson (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
184	SA2970	Caroline Jepson (adjacent resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
188	SA2971	Albertina Benedetti-Hall (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Cannot take over a protected park in a city whose green spaces are more and more under threat. The changes breach a whole series of planning policies as well as contravening agreement with public bodies that have given grants.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
189	SA2972	Yusuf Mertdjan (181 signature petition)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
190	SA2973	Hasibe Guler (21 signature petition)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
205	SA2974	L. Morgan (adjacent resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

208	SA2975	Yvonne Say (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
217	SA2976	Andie Frost	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
227	SA2977	A Crawford, K Docherty and J Crawford-Docherty	Breach of planning policies	Surely this would be against the environmental ethics & policies of the council to support such a development on precious green space.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
229	SA2978	Woodlands Park Residents Association	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
240	SA2979	Esther Pierce (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
246	SA2980	John Mullee (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
247	SA2981	Christopher Currie (local resident)	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
249	SA2982	Hornsey Action Group	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
276	SA2983	Anonymous 1	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
278	SA2984	Ms S C Allen	Breach of planning policies	In contrast draft proposal appears dismissive and or ignorant of several protection and planning policies generated through this and also to the vast amount of help this community has had since the 1985 riot.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
429	SA2985	Simona Sideri	Breach of planning policies	Planning policies are being breached which should protect community.	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
32	SA2986	Michael Spiers	Against environmental agenda	It is not in keeping with the councils environmental agenda	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
253	SA2987	Sofie Pelsmakers (local resident)	Local Plan p11	Building on Lordship Rec is contradictory to statement in proposed Local Plan (p11) regarding the open space study and open space deficiency in the borough.	Noted. Any use of metropolitan open land for development would require a land swap to ensure no net loss of open space in the area.
254	SA2988	Friends of Lordship Rec	Management plan	Park is protected: management plan which commits to working in partnership to ensure groups are fully involved in all aspects of the management of the park. However, this is the first time groups have heard about it.	Noted. Council will work with local groups on any future proposals for Lordship Recreation Ground.
254	SA2989	Friends of Lordship Rec	Open space deficiency	All residential developments in Areas of Open Space Deficiency and in wards below the Borough-wide target of open space of 1.64 ha per 1,000 population to provide new open space or contributions for new or improvements to open spaces. (Lordship Rec is in a ward below the target)	Noted. Development on the site would be required to provide new open space or contributions towards new parks or improvements in line with this policy and emerging DM policies, as well as Strategic Policies and London Plan.
254	SA2990	Friends of Lordship Rec	Structures on POS	Planning permission only for small scale structure on POS where development is directly related or ancillary to recreational use and predominant open space character is maintained.	Both the London Plan and Haringey Local Plan seek to protect MOL. Therefore any development, in particular residential development, on the Recreation Ground would be inappropriate and require justification through exceptional circumstances. The Council also has an emerging Development Management policy that resists the loss of any open space, so any development on MOL would require MOL re-provision on the estate.
257	SA2991	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Breach of planning policies	Demolition of BWF and other estates in Tottenham contravenes the councils own policies i.e. Strategic Policies 3.2.2	Noted. Council believes the proposals are in line with national planning policy, the London Plan and Haringey's Local Plan. This will be tested by an independent examination under section 20 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
248	SA2992	Stuart Philip Gillings and Ruth Pattison (adjacent residents)	Management plan	Building on Lordship Rec in breach of: February management plan which commits to working in partnership to ensure groups are fully involved in all aspects of the management of the park.	Noted. Council will work with local groups on any future proposals for Lordship Recreation Ground.
207	SA2993	Erica Ward	Open space deficiency	Tottenham already has open space deficiency in many areas; need site allocations for more open spaces not fewer.	Noted. Any use of metropolitan open land for development would require a land swap to ensure no net loss of open space in the area.
206	SA2994	Anne Harvey-Kirkwood (adjacent resident)	Open space deficiency	In one of councils reports it is recommended 1.64 ha per 1000 already in Bruce Grove Ward you have built on every bit of open space we had meaning we have to 'share space from West Green Ward and (I believe White Hart Lane as in Bruce Castle Park).If you continue with these wild schemes it will mean even less space for the existing residents as well as any new residents.	Noted. Any use of metropolitan open land for development would require a land swap to ensure no net loss of open space in the area.
236	SA2995	Catherine Collingborn (local resident)	Open Space Study	Building on the park counters the statement in the local plan from the open space study citing areas of open space deficiency.	Noted. Development on the site would be required to provide new open space or contributions towards new parks or improvements in line with this policy.
Respondent ID	Comment ID	Respondent	Topic	Summary of Response	Council Response
224	SA2996	Anonymous (local resident)	Community cohesion	The community could be kept together as there would be somewhere for people to go when their homes were demolished	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

237	SA2997	Broadwater United Sports and Football Association	Community cohesion	Established and founder organisation of BWF and have been instrumental in development and community cohesion since 1981. One aim is to give voice to the community including young people, the vulnerable and elderly	Noted.
12	SA2998	Fiona Sutherland (adjacent resident)	Community cohesion	There is a strong community around the estate which should not be exploited for the benefit of others. The plans will destroy what council claims to want to create – vibrant cohesive and inclusive communities.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
140	SA2999	Laura Pickering	Community cohesion	BWF has done so much in recent years to gain a sense of community, why knock it down now?	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
151	SA3000	Sylvia Oland (local resident)	Community cohesion	The community spirit, expensively rebuilt schools and facilities would be at risk if plans go ahead.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
154	SA3001	Susan Turner	Community cohesion	Proposal will take away what community is left.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
161	SA3002	Fr James Hill	Community cohesion	Communities have been built up through financial investment and effective communication, communities are not displaceable. Communities are people whose physical and psychological states are fragile. Seeing them as units to be moved here and there is dehumanising and takes no account of the pastoral ramifications involved in uprooting people from communities in which they feel safe and valued.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
193	SA3003	Maureen Black (local resident)	Community cohesion	This proposal is unnecessary and extremely damaging to the local community.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
218	SA3004	Jules Holroyd	Community cohesion	When such land is built on, communities are damaged and wildlife is lost. These have been built over decades. These are not values that can easily be quantified in economic terms, but I hope the council is not blinded by this into supposing their value cannot therefore figure in decisions about the development of this land.	The Lordship Rec will remain MOL Action: Remove all of Lordship Rec from the Site Allocation.
345	SA3005	S. Dobie	Community cohesion	Proposals will destroy the whole community and negatively impact on existing residents.	The site allocation provides for improvements to the estate. No delivery model has been consulted on.
335	SA3006	Dr Michelle Northrop	Community cohesion	Proposals seem like a purging of certain communities from Haringey; where will residents be moved?	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
78	SA3007	Tim Rawe	Community displacement	Seen same thing happen in Barnet at Dollis Valley causing disruption of a community in the name of development. Do not want to see the same thing here, the council should be acting on behalf of its residents not the wealth of property developers.	Noted.
166	SA3008	Janet Lallysmith	Community displacement	Looks like council wants to get poor people out of the borough by making anyone on anything less than a secure tenancy homeless and replace them with wealthier people from other parts of London.	This is outside of the scope of the Plan. The Local Plan seeks to meet housing need in the borough.
217	SA3009	Andie Frost	Community displacement	At a time when the council needs to bring stability to cohesive communities such plans will only bring disruption and undo decades of valuable work and destroy the fragile and unique multiethnic mix which makes Tottenham such an amazing place to live.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

223	SA3010	Tarin Unwin	Community displacement	The proposal to demolish much of the affordable housing will have bad outcomes for people who live there, especially if they end up being priced out of the area. Dislocating people from the area where they may have lived for many years, have networks of extended family and friends, their jobs, their children settled in schools etc ... is not what Haringey Council should be doing.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
248	SA3011	Stuart Philip Gillings and Ruth Pattison (adjacent residents)	Community displacement	Thousands of residents will be displaced; their lives will be disrupted physically, emotionally, financially and socially. All tenants will be worse off in terms of security of tenure, and ability to find/afford alternative accommodation.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
235	SA3012	Dara O'Reilly (local resident)	Community displacement	Support idea that in difficult times low income authorities need more council taxpayers in particularly the poorer parts of the borough. To achieve this by shipping out the poor and replacing with the wealthy is counter-productive and immoral.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
257	SA3013	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Community displacement	Corporate plan medium term financial strategy 15/16 – 17/18 Council plans to house more homeless families outside London. Demolishing social housing without appropriate replacement in areas like Northumberland Park will lead to increasing numbers of Haringey's homeless families being forced out of London. This pressure to move out of London leads to discriminatory nature of any proposal to demolish social housing.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
169	SA3014	Gareth and Bonnie Walker	Community led regeneration	Demolition of perfectly good housing and destruction of a community which has worked hard to improve itself makes no sense.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
54	SA3015	Cassie & Michael Birtwistle (local residents)	Community led regeneration	The area has made great stride recently to foster a sense of community and inclusiveness, this has focused around Lordship Rec and what local residents of all backgrounds have come together to achieve. Do not jeopardise progress made.	Noted.
109	SA3016	Will Embliss	Community led regeneration	New development will destroy the community and the new estates will have social problems that will cost a lot to fix and a lot of time to rebuild community.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
204	SA3017	Katherine Currie (local resident)	Community led regeneration	Uprooting a community which has worked hard to recover from the 1985 riots and in which Council has invested money and services defies all the hard won knowledge e of past mistakes in development on the need to preserve successful communities especially those that have regenerated despite disadvantages of poverty and lack of self belief.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
228	SA3018	Jess Kitley (local resident)	Community led regeneration	This is not an area neglected or underused thereby suggesting a more efficient use of land by redevelopment. Comprehensive community led regeneration of the estate and adjoining Lordship RG should be applauded, developed and continued not demolished and refined.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
157	SA3019	Saphia Crowther (local resident)	Community led regeneration	Community efforts over the years to improve the area and local facilities including Lordship rec. These plans would undermine the community and their regeneration as well as undermining security of tenure for residents.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
171	SA3020	Frances Bradshaw	Community led regeneration	While new housing is needed public open space is essential for people's wellbeing. The balance here seems to have been ignored. It suggests that the council does not understand the work of all the people who have committed so much time and effort over the last decade.	The Lordship Rec will remain MOL Action: Remove all of Lordship Rec from the Site Allocation.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

218	SA3021	Jules Holroyd	Community resource	This land is an important community resource and environmental asset to the area, providing sports and recreational facilities that are well used and valuable in making this area an attractive one.	The Lordship Rec will remain MOL Action: Remove all of Lordship Rec from the Site Allocation.
247	SA3022	Christopher Currie (local resident)	Disruption	Housing affected is modern and good quality and doesn't need replacing. Redevelopment would disrupt area for years.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
7	SA3023	Peter Thomlinson (local resident)	Social cleansing	Objects to ethnic/social cleansing of Broadwater Farm	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
2	SA3024	Stephen Brown (site resident)	Social cleansing	Objects to displacement of communities and social cleansing	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
4	SA3025	Beatrice Peries-Brown (site resident)	Social cleansing	Objects to displacement of communities and social cleansing	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
235	SA3026	Dara O'Reilly (local resident)	Social cleansing	Proposal in breach of Haringey's planning policies and amounts to 'social cleansing' moving out low-income families to replace them with wealthier people.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
240	SA3027	Esther Pierce (local resident)	Social cleansing	Afraid new housing will follow places such as Woodberry Down where residents are forced out and given substandard care and attention compared to newcomers. The area changes and a form of social cleansing happen, driving out people who contribute and bring life to the community. This would look bad for the council.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
246	SA3028	John Mullee (local resident)	Social cleansing	Afraid new housing will follow places such as Woodberry Down where residents are forced out and given substandard care and attention compared to newcomers. The area changes and a form of social cleansing happen, driving out people who contribute and bring life to the community. This would look bad for the council.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
278	SA3029	Ms S C Allen	Support community	Primary aim for council instead should be to generate support which increases rather than decreases the perception of stability within our homes. Knowing we can and should expect a stable and secure home is the first building block to hope. It is this which leads to hope and to the foresight of a future. Hope in turn creates individuals and communities with ambitions to 'give something back'. SA63 proposal will erode these basic needs and drives.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
172	SA3030	Brian Belle-Fortune (local resident)	Community cohesion	BWF is already densely populated. Clasford Sterling gained his MBE for nurturing youth football teams and contribution toward community cohesion. The area works as a community. The area has improved immeasurably since the 90s. The proposed plans are unsympathetic.	Comments noted.
385	SA3031	D. Chambers	Work with community	Instead the Council must work with the community to protect, support and improve all existing homes, estate facilities and communities in the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
3	SA3032	Edel Brosnan (unknown)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
10	SA3033	Clare O'Boy (Tottenham	Work with community	The council needs to listen and work with the community it is planning to destroy.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

		resident)			would also be subject to the full public planning process.
LP11	SA3034	Sarah Bayley (Tottenham resident)	Work with community	The council needs to listen and work with the community it is planning to destroy.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
12	SA3035	Fiona Sutherland (adjacent resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
13	SA3036	Billie Regnier (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
14	SA3037	Robert Darnell Bradley (adjacent resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
15	SA3038	Nora Kilgannon and Yutaka Hamano (adjacent residents)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
16	SA3039	Lynne Darnell	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
17	SA3040	Lorna Carsley (Tottenham resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
18	SA3041	Deborah Berger (N17 resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
19	SA3042	Sacha Armitage (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
20	SA3043	Caroline Carter	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
21	SA3044	Trevor Banthorpe	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
22	SA3045	Nick Putz (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
23	SA3046	Robert Samuel Hall (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
28	SA3047	Sylvie Dupuy (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
29	SA3048	S T Khatoon (site resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
30	SA3049	Theo Kindynis	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

31	SA3050	Friedrich Ernst (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
32	SA3051	Michael Spiers	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
33	SA3052	Richard Abbott (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
34	SA3053	Candy Jannetta- Porter	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
35	SA3054	Nicola Hammond	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
36	SA3055	Ruth Hastings Iqball and Mohamed Iqball	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
37	SA3056	Thomas Gray (site resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
38	SA3057	Sally Stewart, Pete Franklin and Francesco DiManicor (local residents)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
39	SA3058	Ruth Green	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
40	SA3059	Stephen Reynolds and Jose Cano Ruiz	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
42	SA3060	Alison Johnston	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
43	SA3061	Stephanie Grant (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
44	SA3062	Paul Chapman, Carol Chapman, Nicola Chapman, Mark Chapman, Claire Hinchliff, Jo Hart, James Askham, Gary Clarke, Tara Houlihan and Thomas Dunn	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
45	SA3063	Duncan Johnson (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

46	SA3064	Veronica Mole (borough resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area. Put local people before developers' profits.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
50	SA3065	Dr Sarah Pucill	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
51	SA3066	Alex Berry	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
52	SA3067	Mona	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
53	SA3068	Bertha Bruce-Larbi	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
54	SA3069	Cassie & Michael Birtwistle (local residents)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
55	SA3070	Sarah Masters (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
56	SA3071	Joan George	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
58	SA3072	Wei Pan	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
59	SA3073	Kiran Gungadin	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
60	SA3074	Fabio Monteforte (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
61	SA3075	Natasha William (Tottenham resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
62	SA3076	David Wheatley (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
63	SA3077	Genet Menalu (site resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
64	SA3078	Gulem Ezer	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
65	SA3079	Ezer family	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
66	SA3080	James Blond	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

67	SA3081	Amani Hussein (site resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
68	SA3082	Pat Devereaux	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
69	SA3083	Mr Artur Stefanowicz and Mrs Agnieszka Stefanowicz (adjacent residents)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
70	SA3084	Kevin O'Connell	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
71	SA3085	Dhavan Abiyani	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
72	SA3086	Mr and Mrs Osborne	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
73	SA3087	Grace Dyer (site resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
74	SA3088	Dee Seale	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
75	SA3089	Keith Lemon (site resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
76	SA3090	Caesar Lalobo (site resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
77	SA3091	Lorna Waite (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
78	SA3092	Tim Rawe	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
79	SA3093	Seda Mertdjan	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
80	SA3094	Murray Wyatt	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
81	SA3095	Vadivelu Yogaratnam (site resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
82	SA3096	Ozlem Arslan	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

83	SA3097	Elif Arslan	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
84	SA3098	Tiborfialka	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
85	SA3099	Nathan Wilson	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
86	SA3100	Dugan Warn (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
88	SA3101	Eve Ellis	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
89	SA3102	Storm Moncur	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
90	SA3103	Tessa Forbes	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
91	SA3104	Martina Koepcke	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
92	SA3105	Elize Truter	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
93	SA3106	Louisa Livermore (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
94	SA3107	Khahir (adjacent resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
95	SA3108	John and Murielle Porter-Weiss	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
96	SA3109	Joanne Milmoe and Daniel Rudd	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
99	SA3110	Catherine Riley (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
100	SA3111	Jonathan Sampaney	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
101	SA3112	Alec Peschlow	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
102	SA3113	Joanna Monaghan	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

103	SA3114	Valentin Kovalenko and Chiara Contrino	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
104	SA3115	Francis Blake and Alexandra Harley	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
106	SA3116	Karen and Jodi Ahmed	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
107	SA3117	Matt Burns	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
109	SA3118	Will Embliss (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
110	SA3119	Candy Amsden	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
111	SA3120	Ann McTaggart	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area. It is time elected councillors stop riding rough shod over local people.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
112	SA3121	Zoe Fox (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
7	SA3122	Peter Thomlinson (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
113	SA3123	Sophie Wolchover	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
114	SA3124	Jackie Ekim	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
115	SA3125	Lucy Edkins	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
116	SA3126	Candice Sly	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
117	SA3127	Heather Beverley (adjacent resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
118	SA3128	Dorota Gnyp	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
123	SA3129	Samantha, Zoe and Pamela Wyles	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect and improve the area rather than destroying work already done.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
127	SA3130	Luci Davin (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

149	SA3131	Martin Braund	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
150	SA3132	Tedd Lavia (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
151	SA3133	Sylvia Oland (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
152	SA3134	Helen Oliver (adjacent resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
166	SA3135	Janet Lallysmith	Work with community	So many people have put so much into improving this area. Work with the community to improve the area rather than taking things away in one of the most economically deprived places in London.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
155	SA3136	David Corio (local resident)	Work with community	The council should be working with the community to improve the area	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
156	SA3137	Martyna M	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
157	SA3138	Saphia Crowther (local resident)	Work with community	Hopes council will work with tenants, and the community in general, to improve the existing homes and recreational space and support community led efforts. Haringey belongs to all of us, not sold off to the highest bidder.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
161	SA3139	Fr James Hill	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
162	SA3140	J Skinner (adjacent resident)	Work with community	No doubt redevelopment needed in Tottenham but private companies are only interested in profit. Council must work with community to support and improve the existing area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
164	SA3141	Holly and Michael Browne (local residents)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
167	SA3142	Reba Johnson	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
171	SA3143	Frances Bradshaw	Work with community	Council needs to work with the community to improve the area not against it	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
174	SA3144	Tony Wood	Work with community	Let all work together to make the Farm and Lordship Rec a much better place without knocking it down and selling it to a private investor.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
175	SA3145	Abdul Bham and Judith Ironside (adjacent resident)	Work with community	If BWF improvements are needed they should be done in consultation with residents to enhance the existing community and facilities.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
180	SA3146	L Miller (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

183	SA3147	Michelle Lawson (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
184	SA3148	Caroline Jepson (adjacent resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
186	SA3149	Mark Jameson (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
187	SA3150	Eleanor Hooker (local resident)	Work with community	Improvements are required but must be done with approval of residents and local groups. Revised plans should be put forward.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
189	SA3151	Yusuf Mertdjan (181 signature petition)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
190	SA3152	Hasibe Guler (21 signature petition)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
193	SA3153	Maureen Black (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
205	SA3154	L. Morgan (adjacent resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the existing area for the benefit of residents.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
208	SA3155	Yvonne Say (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the existing area. This proposal will be deeply unpopular and create upset and division when we need to be sticking together to oppose the shocking attacks the current government is using to try and grind us down.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
209	SA3156	Rosemary Hollis (local resident)	Work with community	Please halt plans and reconsider. Please continue to work with the community to protect, support and improve the existing area. These are precious and must not be sacrificed for developer profit.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
215	SA3157	George Britovsek & Johanna Wadsley (adjacent residents)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
217	SA3158	Andie Frost	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
236	SA3159	Catherine Collingborn (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
240	SA3160	Esther Pierce (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
246	SA3161	John Mullee (local resident)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

248	SA3162	Stuart Philip Gillings and Ruth Pattison (adjacent residents)	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
249	SA3163	Hornsey Action Group	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
254	SA3164	Friends of Lordship Rec	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
276	SA3165	Anonymous 1	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
429	SA3166	Simona Sideri	Work with community	Council should work with the community to protect, support and improve the area.	Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
390	SA3167	Mr and Mrs Young	Work with community	Instead the Council must work with the community to protect, support and improve all existing homes, estate facilities and communities in the area.	No decisions would be made on any proposed development without close consultation with residents. Any development would be subject to the full public planning process.
388	SA3168	Jim Powers and Olive Straker	Community cohesion	More housing is needed in London but destroying communities and stirring up trouble in Tottenham is not the way to go about it.	Comment noted. Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
150	SA3169	Tedd Lavia (local resident)	Community displacement	The proposal would cause stress with displacement and disruption for years.	Comment noted. Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
235	SA3170	Dara O'Reilly (local resident)	Community displacement	The proposals would cause massive stress and difficulty to the community and displace thousands who can't afford the 'affordable' element of the proposed redevelopment.	Comment noted. Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
277	SA3171	Anonymous 2 (local resident)	Community displacement	Against: displacement of existing householders and disruption to the whole area	Comment noted. Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
277	SA3172	Anonymous 2 (local resident)	Community displacement	Against: after years of community work, to settle the commune of BWF, the upheaval starts all over again, purely to satisfy demand, in an already densely populated part of London.	Comment noted. Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
148	SA3173	Ghazale Jamsheed (adjacent resident)	Community led regeneration	Development will cause unnecessary stress to the community brought on by years of displacement and disruption. Proposal undermines recent efforts to build a strong local community	Noted. Council will work in consultation with local residents on any proposed improvements within Broadwater Farm. Any development would also be subject to the full public planning process.
234	SA3174	Asher Jacobsberg (adjacent resident)	Community involvement	Redevelopment should be in partnership with residents and those who live close by. Redevelopment should increase council owned housing stock.	Noted. Any plans for redevelopment will be undertaken in consultation with the community.
188	SA3175	Albertina Benedetti-Hall (local resident)	Community cohesion	Moved into the area for green space and the positive sense of community. BWF and Lordship Rec are clear examples of a strong, vibrant and committed community.	Noted. Lordship Rec has been removed from the allocation.
211	SA3176	Veronica Bailey Straffon and	Community cohesion	Re-development would cause huge upheaval to both the Broadwater Farm Community and the wildlife. It is not necessary	Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground Noted. Lordship Rec has been removed from the allocation.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

		James Straffon (local residents)		to go this route of SA63 and plans have to be re thought. The community and green space needs protecting from short -sighted ideas.	Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground
141	SA3177	Joan Curtis (local resident)	Community involvement	Community involvement in partnership with council officers has transformed Lordship Rec therefore building on it is a short-sighted view of the value of green space. Insult to all the people who have put in their time voluntarily to transforming this green space.	Noted. Lordship Rec has been removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground
213	SA3178	Elizabeth Adams (local resident)	Community led regeneration	Local groups and individuals put enormous amounts of time and energy into improving the Estate and its surroundings. To ignore all that effort and suggest building on such an important open space is outrageous	Noted. Lordship Rec has been removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground
254	SA3179	Friends of Lordship Rec	Community led regeneration	Local residents have worked hard to make BWF one of the most attractive estates in the UK and Lordship Rec a great Park including the sports field.	Noted. Lordship Rec has been removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground
109	SA3180	Will Embliss	Community led regeneration	Over the last 10 years the community has worked with the Parks' Service to achieve a nationally celebrated regeneration of Tottenham's largest public park. Fantastic community led development which Haringey should be proud of not help destroy.	Noted. Lordship Rec has been removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground
244	SA3181	N Turkan (adjacent resident)	Disruption	The proposals will violate limited open space and cause much disruption and have a negative impact on us.	Noted. Lordship Rec has been removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground
245	SA3182	N Turkan (adjacent resident)	Disruption	The proposals will violate limited open space and cause much disruption and have a negative impact on us.	Noted. Lordship Rec has been removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground
207	SA3183	Erica Ward	Community displacement	The proposal for BWF would cause stress to residents for years due to displacement and disruption. It has improved massively in recent years so its demolition is not needed or wanted.	The allocation does not propose an intention to demolish the estate. The proposed site allocation is for "Potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area". Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out.
125	SA3184	Sally Gray (local resident)	Community led regeneration	This is not an area neglected or underused thereby suggesting a more efficient use of land by redevelopment. Comprehensive community led regeneration of the estate and adjoining Lordship RG. Should be applauded, developed and continued not demolished and refined.	The allocation provides for improvements to the estate rather than redevelopment.
645	SA3185	Keith Dobie, local resident	Community cohesion	Objects to the breaking up communities/neighbourhoods (Broadwater Farm)	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for "potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area." Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works are carried out.
3	SA3186	Edel Brosnan (unknown)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for "potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area." Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					people to remain within the community while any potential works are carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
10	SA3187	Clare O'Boy (Tottenham resident)	Community displacement	Redevelopment of the estate would displace communities and undermine the money that has already been spent on upgrading the estate and improving its facilities.	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for "potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area." Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
11	SA3188	Sarah Bayley (Tottenham resident)	Community displacement	Redevelopment of the estate would displace communities and undermine the money that has already been spent on upgrading the estate and improving its facilities.	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for "potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area." Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
12	SA3189	Fiona Sutherland (adjacent resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for "potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area." Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
13	SA3190	Billie Regnier (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for "potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area." Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
14	SA3191	Robert Darnell Bradley (adjacent resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for "potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area." Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
15	SA3192	Nora Kilgannon and Yutaka Hamano (adjacent residents)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
16	SA3193	Lynne Darnell (adjacent resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
17	SA3194	Lorna Carsley (Tottenham resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities stable community	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
18	SA3195	Deborah Berger (N17 resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
19	SA3196	Sacha Armitage (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
20	SA3197	Caroline Carter	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
21	SA3198	Trevor Banthorpe	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
22	SA3199	Nick Putz (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
23	SA3200	Robert Samuel Hall (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
29	SA3201	S T Khatoon (site resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					consultation with residents.
30	SA3202	Theo Kindynis	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
31	SA3203	Friedrich Ernst (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
32	SA3204	Michael Spiers	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
33	SA3205	Richard Abbott (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
34	SA3206	Candy Jannetta-Porter	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

35	SA3207	Nicola Hammond	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
36	SA3208	Ruth Hastings Iqball and Mohamed Iqball	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
37	SA3209	Thomas Gray (site resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
38	SA3210	Sally Stewart, Pete Franklin and Francesco DiManicor (local residents)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
39	SA3211	Ruth Green	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

40	SA3212	Stephen Reynolds and Jose Cano Ruiz	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
41	SA3213	M Duerden & K Klier (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
42	SA3214	Alison Johnston	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
43	SA3215	Stephanie Grant (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
44	SA3216	Paul Chapman, Carol Chapman, Nicola Chapman, Mark Chapman, Claire Hinchliff, Jo Hart, James Askham, Gary Clarke, Tara Houlihan and Thomas Dunn	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

45	SA3217	Duncan Johnson (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
50	SA3218	Dr Sarah Pucill	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
51	SA3219	Alex Berry	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
52	SA3220	Mona	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
53	SA3221	Bertha Bruce-Larbi	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
54	SA3222	Cassie & Michael	Community	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

		Birtwistle (local residents)	displacement	the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
55	SA3223	Sarah Masters (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
56	SA3224	Joan George	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
57	SA3225	Kate Priest (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
58	SA3226	Wei Pan	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
59	SA3227	Kiran Gungadin	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

				community and to improve local facilities	Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
60	SA3228	Fabio Monteforte (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
61	SA3229	Natasha William (Tottenham resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
62	SA3230	David Wheatley (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
63	SA3231	Genet Menalu (site resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
64	SA3232	Gulem Ezer	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					“potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
65	SA3233	Ezer family	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
66	SA3234	James Blond	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
67	SA3235	Amani Hussein (site resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
68	SA3236	Pat Devereaux	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
69	SA3237	Mr Artur Stefanowicz and Mrs Agnieszka Stefanowicz	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock,

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

		(adjacent residents)			design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
70	SA3238	Kevin O’Connell	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
71	SA3239	Dhavan Abiyani	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
72	SA3240	Mr and Mrs Osborne	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
74	SA3241	Dee Seale	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
75	SA3242	Keith Lemon (site resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
76	SA3243	Caesar Lalobo (site resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
77	SA3244	Lorna Waite (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
78	SA3245	Tim Rawe	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
79	SA3246	Seda Mertdjan	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
80	SA3247	Murray Wyatt	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
81	SA3248	Vadivelu Yogaratnam	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
82	SA3249	Ozlem Arslan	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
83	SA3250	Elif Arslan	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
84	SA3251	Tiborfialka	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
85	SA3252	Nathan Wilson	Community displacement	It would undermine all the successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
86	SA3253	Dugan Warn (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
88	SA3254	Eve Ellis	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
89	SA3255	Storm Moncur	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
90	SA3256	Tessa Forbes	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
91	SA3257	Martina Koepcke	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
92	SA3258	Elize Truter	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
93	SA3259	Louisa Livermore (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
94	SA3260	Khahir (adjacent resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
95	SA3261	John and Murielle Porter-Weiss	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
96	SA3262	Joanne Milmoe and Daniel Rudd	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					consultation with residents.
97	SA3263	Miss J Turnbull	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities. It's taken a long time to build stable local facilities.	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for "potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area." Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
99	SA3264	Catherine Riley (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for "potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area." Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
100	SA3265	Jonathan Sampaney	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for "potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area." Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
101	SA3266	Alec Peschlow	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for "potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area." Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
102	SA3267	Joanna Monaghan	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for "potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area." Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

103	SA3268	Valentin Kovalenko and Chiara Contrino	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
104	SA3269	Francis Blake and Alexandra Harley	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
106	SA3270	Karen and Jodi Ahmed	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
107	SA3271	Matt Burns	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
109	SA3272	Will Embliss (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
110	SA3273	Candy Amsden	Community	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

			displacement	the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
111	SA3274	Ann McTaggart	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
112	SA3275	Zoe Fox (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
7	SA3276	Peter Thomlinson (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
113	SA3277	Sophie Wolchover	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
114	SA3278	Jackie Ekim	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

				community and to improve local facilities	Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
115	SA3279	Lucy Edkins	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
116	SA3280	Candice Sly	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
117	SA3281	Heather Beverley (adjacent resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
118	SA3282	Dorota Gnyp	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
127	SA3283	Luci Davin (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities.	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					“potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
149	SA3284	Martin Braund	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
152	SA3285	Helen Oliver (adjacent resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
156	SA3286	Martyna M	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
158	SA3287	Angela and Tony Baker	Community displacement	Concerns regarding disruption and displacement of a strong community.	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
161	SA3288	Fr James Hill	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock,

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
164	SA3289	Holly and Michael Browne (local residents)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities. Families, friends and support networks at risk. Displacing people to other parts of London to make money to build new homes is a sad reflection of government’s attitudes to people on benefits.	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
167	SA3290	Reba Johnson	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
173	SA3291	Jonathan Maris	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to building a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
174	SA3292	Tony Wood	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
180	SA3293	L Miller (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
183	SA3294	Michelle Lawson (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
184	SA3295	Caroline Jepson (adjacent resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
189	SA3296	Yusuf Mertdjan (181 signature petition)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
190	SA3297	Hasibe Guler (21 signature petition)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities. Take into account Somerset Close residents are mainly ethnic minority people who have been happily settled over 21 years.	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
208	SA3298	Yvonne Say (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities.	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
215	SA3299	George Britovsek & Johanna Wadsley (adjacent residents)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
206	SA3300	Anne Harvey-Kirkwood (adjacent resident)	Community displacement	Concerned with proposal to demolish housing, displace families and destroy open space (of which there is very little)	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. The park is protected metropolitan open land so any development on it would have to be justified through exceptional circumstances and its re-provision on the estate. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
219	SA3301	Mark Pickworth (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
229	SA3302	Woodlands Park Residents Association	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
236	SA3303	Catherine Collingborn (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock,

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
240	SA3304	Esther Pierce (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
246	SA3305	John Mullee (local resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
249	SA3306	Hornsey Action Group	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
254	SA3307	Friends of Lordship Rec	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
257	SA3308	Broadwater Farm Residents’ Association	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
276	SA3309	Anonymous	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
385	SA3310	D. Chambers	Community displacement	The proposal would cause massive stress to all concerned, displacement and disruption for years, and undermine all the successful efforts over the years.	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
390	SA3311	Mr and Mrs Young	Community displacement	The proposal would cause massive stress to all concerned, displacement and disruption for years, and undermine all the successful efforts over the years.	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
429	SA3312	Simona Sideri	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities and the undermining of successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
205	SA3313	L. Morgan (adjacent resident)	Community displacement	Objects to displacement of and disruption to communities	The proposed site allocation is for “Potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area”. Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out.
159	SA3314	Mrs V A	Community	The plan is flawed and does not have the interests of the local	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

		Macdonald (adjacent resident)	cohesion	community at heart.	that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
256	SA3315	Reardon family	Community displacement	It would cause massive stress to families who have built strong and stable communities which support each other. Moira Close has strong community spirit. The mayor and MP recently celebrated that with us. Proposed plans will not improve our life chances. They will be reduced by displacement and lose of family / network support. People take pride in their homes and environment. All attributes council is striving to achieve.	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
129	SA3316	Catherine Suttle	Community led regeneration	Community has put a lot of work into developing Lordship Rec and the hub, and activities that bring people together. In the face of all this investment it would be a travesty and insult to the community for the council to propose demolishing it.	The allocation reflects comments received to previous consultation that suggest residents would like to see improvements to Broadwater Farm. Therefore the proposed site allocation is for “potential improvements for the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.” Lordship Recreation Ground was included within the allocation to provide scope for people to remain within the community while any potential works were carried out. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes and no decisions would be made without full consultation with residents.
175	SA3317	Abdul Bham and Judith Ironside (adjacent resident)	Community led regeneration	Unacceptable attempt to take over land dedicated to community use and is an integral part of projects by local community organisations to provide facilities.	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development.
181	SA3318	Amanda Latimer	Community led regeneration	Will destroy the long efforts to build a strong and stable community already under stress from the riots and austerity measures.	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development.
205	SA3319	L. Morgan (adjacent resident)	Community led regeneration	Undermines successful efforts to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities for local community, Haringey and London	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development.
209	SA3320	Rosemary Hollis (local resident)	Community led regeneration	Plan threatens all the good work over decades to build a strong and stable community and to improve local facilities. This is a success story that is in danger of destruction.	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development.
210	SA3321	Noel Treacy	Community led regeneration	I also object to the proposal to demolish and redevelop Broadwater Farm which would destroy the progress that has been made in improving conditions and which is a suggestion ignorant of what has been achieved in collaboration with the local community through the Broadwater Farm Residents’ Association.	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development.
238	SA3322	Margaret Burr	Community led regeneration	BWF is one of success stories of bringing together the community (after dreadful events of 30 years ago) and is now an important asset. Planned redevelopment makes a nonsense of community	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

				development and engagement.	
5	SA3323	Katie Kinnear (resident)	Community led regeneration	Council should be celebrating the successful community led regeneration of the area rather than destroying existing homes and facilities	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development.
198	SA3324	Mark Applegate	Community led regeneration	Counterproductive to all the positive work that has been done over the years in this community. Council should continue to support initiatives which improve and protect social housing, green spaces and local facilities.	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development.
248	SA3325	Stuart Philip Gillings and Ruth Pattison (adjacent residents)	Community led regeneration	Achievements of three decades of work building a strong and stable community will be thrown away.	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development.
123	SA3326	Samantha, Zoe and Pamela Wyles	Community led regeneration	Local people have successfully improved the facilities. The proposal will undermine these ongoing efforts, displacing residents and causing great upheaval and disruption for years. It will destroy the local community.	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development.
155	SA3327	David Corio (local resident)	Community led regeneration	Disruption for years and will undermine successful efforts to build a strong, stable and safe community	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development.
46	SA3328	Veronica Mole (borough resident)	Community led regeneration	Proposal undermines work to build strong communities and improve local facilities	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development
257	SA3329	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Community led regeneration	Community-led regeneration of the estate attracted over £40million of resources and has been a huge success. All tenants' recommendations were carried out. Visitors from around the world visit to see a great example of community-led regeneration.	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development
175	SA3330	Abdul Bham and Judith Ironside (adjacent resident)	Disruption	Disruption will be massive and there will be uncertainty and stress for residents directly affected.	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development
178	SA3331	Dan Rosenberg & Sagarika Chatterjee	Disruption	There will be years of disruption and lasting damage if this goes ahead.	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development.
272	SA3332	Mr R J Ferguson	Disruption	Unsettling effect this upheaval and disruption will have on human beings, their families and communities.	The council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development
Respondent ID	Comment ID	Respondent	Topic	Summary of Response	Council Response
247	SA3333	Christopher Currie (local resident)	Access	Even for fragment of field which would remain the access for visitors to events would be blocked by housing.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend site allocation to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
633	SA3334	Anne Gray, Local Resident	Access	It is crazy to pull down perfectly good housing in Broadwater Farm or alongside it in Somerset Close, Lido Square and Lordship Lane. With the exception of the Edwardian and 1930s homes in Lordship Lane, these dwellings are less than 50 years old. Broadwater Farm estate has been the subject of millions of improvement works and houses a community centre of major importance installed to develop social cohesion after the riots of	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

				the 1980s. The carbon footprint and waste of previous investment involved in doing this is unthinkable. Broadwater Farm estate is at last after many years a well landscaped place with a good balance of building heights and open space and it would be a disaster to disrupt it. The material and emotional cost to the community there would also be a disaster. The notion of pulling down housing merely to improve road access is also crazy –we need to be moving away from motor traffic not making it easier for cars to flow.	
818	SA3335	Our Tottenham - Claire Colomb	Access	One claimed point of the Broadwater Farm Site Allocation is the improvement of access to Broadwater Farm. We would accept this only if the Site Allocation plan is changed to clearly state that these plans would not involve home demolitions or loss of green space. Given the housing shortage in Haringey schemes like this should not be carried out at the expense of home demolition.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward. There is a statement in Policy SP2 stating that there will be no net loss of housing floorspace in floorspace terms.
148	SA3336	Ghazale Jamsheed (adjacent resident)	Alternative funding	Hope council finds other means to secure the necessary funding to compensate for central government funding cuts.	Noted.
2	SA3337	Stephen Brown (site resident)	Alternative funding	Suggests considering alternative means for increasing revenue	Noted. All options will be considered if this site comes forward for development.
4	SA3338	Beatrice Peries-Brown (site resident)	Alternative funding	Suggests considering alternative means for increasing revenue	Noted. All options will be considered if this site comes forward for development.
238	SA3339	Margaret Burr	Alternative sites	Other areas need redevelopment. Why BWF and why now? Tottenham is already gentrifying and this can only damage people's chances to stay within the community.	This site is one of a number of sites identified for development across the borough. The allocation proposes improvements to the estate to improve the quality of housing in the area.
8	SA3340	Geraldine Turvey	Alternative sites	There are existing empty properties which could be used for temporary decanting of residents such as Broadwater Lodge which is currently 'protected by occupation'	Broadwater Lodge has been included within the site allocation so that all options for redevelopment can be considered in development plans for the area.
206	SA3341	Anne Harvey-Kirkwood (adjacent resident)	Alternative sites	Has council considered less drastic options such as renovating some of the old properties in need of repair i.e. Labour Trades Hall	All sources of housing are being considered to accommodate the approximately 19,000 new dwellings required to be built in Haringey over the plan period.
145	SA3342	Lynda Jessopp	Alternative sites	Appreciates need for housing but suggests using derelict land or vacant industrial sites.	Other sites have been allocated as having potential to provide extra housing in Haringey. This allocation is specifically for potential improvements to the existing housing estate to improve stock, design of the site, and routes through the area.
146	SA3343	Louise Hannah (local resident)	Alternative sites	Suggests nearby brownfield land in Tottenham could be more appropriate for spillover development	Lordship Recreation Ground was included in the allocation to reflect the aspirations of some residents to remain on the estate. Some brownfield sites in Tottenham are also allocated for housing.
194	SA3344	Julie Woodall & family (adjacent residents)	Alternative sites	Other sites to build on rather than much needed open space. Think harder about where housing can be built in spaces with buildings or less desirable land.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend site allocation to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
242	SA3345	Karin Lock	Alternative sites	Use empty properties to re-home people for temporary accommodation as you demolish and rebuild each block.	There are no detailed plans for development on this site however this, along with other options, will be considered in any future development.
247	SA3346	Christopher Currie (local	Alternative sites	Propose use of derelict housing on Bishops Avenue instead as would provide room for extensive new social housing.	Bishops Avenue is not within London Borough of Haringey and the buildings are currently privately owned.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

		resident)			
253	SA3347	Sofie Pelsmakers (local resident)	Alternative sites	Other solutions e.g. land and open space within BWG to build there first before demolition.	Council is considering other decant options including within the estate itself.
253	SA3348	Sofie Pelsmakers (local resident)	Alternative sites	Mayor has announced Tottenham as new housing zone for 10,000 homes. Can residents be decanted here temporarily?	The inclusion of part of Lordship Recreation Ground within the allocation would provide scope for some Broadwater Farm residents' aspirations to remain on the estate.
179	SA3349	Stephen and Susan Ellis	Alternative sites	Rec should not be sacrificed. Derelict buildings or land should be used in preference to using increasingly precious green space.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend site allocation to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
164	SA3350	Holly and Michael Browne (local residents)	Alternative sites	Brownfield sites in local area that would be prime for this kind of redevelopment.	A call for sites was undertaken between March and May 2013 which considered other sites suitable for development. Other brownfield sites in the area have also been allocated for housing development.
201	SA3351	Simon Way	Alternative sites	Learnt with alarm of plans to build on Lordship Rec. Derelict buildings in the area would be better.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend site allocation to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
232	SA3352	Steve Hill (local resident)	Alternative sites	Hackney's more extensive regeneration process has not had to use parkland even temporarily. People have been decanted to other suitable places using empty properties, voids and other measures.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend site allocation to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
159	SA3353	Mrs V A Macdonald (adjacent resident)	Alternative sites	Building on green space is unacceptable. Brownfield land should be used if building or decanting is needed.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend site allocation to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
134	SA3354	Hilary Plews	Alternative sites	Understand that affordable social housing is a top priority but so is open space. Should not be provided on an award winning recreation ground. Suggest a brownfield site could be found to build new housing.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend site allocation to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
135	SA3355	Regina McLennan (local resident)	Alternative solution	Council needs to find an alternative way to housing borough residents.	Noted. A call for sites was issued in March 2013 which allows the community to put forward other sites for development. These were assessed and suitable sites included in the site allocations document.
177	SA3356	Stella Smith	Borough differences	This is hitting the poorest parts of the borough. Would it be considered in High gate Wood or Alexandra Palace	Development is in line with the spatial strategy set out in the Local Plan: Strategic Policies.
243	SA3357	Tower Gardens Residents Group (local residents)	Borough differences	There are no proposals to build on green space in the west of the borough.	Development is in line with the spatial strategy set out in the Local Plan: Strategic Policies. The site allocation has been amended to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
278	SA3358	Ms S C Allen	Changing priorities	It should be blatantly obvious to any competent 'planner' who is directly involved in the direction of the lives of others that thoughtless interfering which results in constantly moving goal posts – quite literally in this case! – is absolutely the fundamental contributing factor which destroys basic human needs and encourages aimlessness.	Noted.
161	SA3359	Fr James Hill	Communication	SA63 is borne of poor and ineffective communication and little understanding of communities and value of the human people.	Noted.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

87	SA3360	Michael Whyte	Congestion	Rejection of the plan as houses within the park will increase congestion	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground will be removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
232	SA3361	Steve Hill (local resident)	Congestion	More houses means effects on congestion. There seems little scope to improve local road capacity.	The site allocation provides for improvements to the estate including routes through the area which could include local road capacity.
32	SA3362	Michael Spiers	Congestion	It will increase traffic and congestion in an already poorly planned and over busy area.	Traffic and congestion will be controlled through the development management policies.
149	SA3363	Martin Braund	Congestion	Suggestion will cause major upheaval in Tottenham, roads will be impassable for many years, the noise and traffic will devastate residents' lives.	There are no detailed plans for Broadwater Farm. These are issues that will be considered in any detailed design and will be controlled by development management policies.
150	SA3364	Tedd Lavia (local resident)	Congestion	Disruption to transport, local facilities and road access in the surrounding areas.	Noted. The development management policies will seek to minimise these effects should development come forward on this site.
155	SA3365	David Corio (local resident)	Congestion	Will cause massive stress in an area that is already congested with traffic	Noted. Development management policies will address traffic concerns in any planning application submitted.
277	SA3366	Anonymous 2 (local resident)	Congestion	Against: more vehicles on the roads in this area	Noted.
243	SA3367	Tower Gardens Residents Group (local residents)	Conservation	Tower gardens estate is a conservation area and designated Article 4. This frontage faces the open space of the Rec with uninterrupted light from the south and is one of the things that gives estate its character and makes it attractive	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground will be removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
70	SA3368	Kevin O'Connell	Consultation	Objects to the veil of secrecy that hangs over these proceedings. Attended the meeting as only saw a sticker in a bus shelter. Was told consultation and leaflets had happened in February with residents. This is untrue. Because the information is not in the public domain residents will miss the deadline. This is also unacceptable and undemocratic.	Concern noted. Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
120	SA3369	Chris Hutton (site resident)	Consultation	The council has not engaged in meaningful consultation with the community and community reps were barred from some consultative meetings.	Concern noted. Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
143	SA3370	Sandra Saad and Youssef Saad (local residents)	Consultation	Haven't been informed of any of these proposals. Heard about it through Friends of Lordship Recreation Grounds.	Concern noted. Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
161	SA3371	Fr James Hill	Consultation	Little thought has been given about the nature of communities. Consultation has not been done properly. Why where churches not involved. They would have been ideal to reach large swathes of the wider community for disseminating information, listening and effective communication.	Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. Disseminating information through churches and other faith organisations is not outlined in the SCI as a possible communication method.
160	SA3372	Eva Atkins	Consultation	Surprised at lack of detail in the plans and the covert way consultation is being carried out. Information is hard to find.	The site allocations document sets out requirements for sites should development come forward in the future. It is not detailed designs for any development. Information was available on the council's website and all Haringey libraries.
162	SA3373	J Skinner (adjacent resident)	Consultation	Only heard about this through Friends of Lordship as no letter from council despite living 100m from estate.	Concern noted. Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

194	SA3374	Julie Woodall & family (adjacent residents)	Consultation	Properly consult residents in the locality with clearer maps. The ones used are too small to read or interpret.	Noted. Maps will be amended in subsequent iterations of the document. Action: Amend maps to make them easier to understand
196	SA3375	Paul Wheeler (local resident)	Consultation	Support Our Tottenham objection to consultation. 6 weeks is not adequate time for people to consider these significant plans for decision making and development. Little pro-active attempts to engage with residents.	Concern noted. Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
197	SA3376	Eloise Kelly	Consultation	Opinions haven't been considered. No letter or leaflet from council allowing fair time to object.	Concern noted. Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
200	SA3377	Ebony Riddell Bamber (adjacent resident)	Consultation	Vibrant community in and around the estate which would need to be consulted before any regeneration decision is taken.	Noted. The community will be involved in any future redevelopment plans for the area.
205	SA3378	L. Morgan (adjacent resident)	Consultation	Not been notified or consulted directly by council about this at all.	Concern noted. Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
221	SA3379	Mr O'Connell (site resident)	Consultation	He attended the consultation event in Northumberland Park, at which an officer claimed that residents of Broadwater Farm estate had been "consulted" about this proposal. As a long-standing resident of Broadwater Farm, he denied knowledge of any consultation on any such proposal. The consultant said that he had already done something similar in Harrow.	Concern noted. Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
221	SA3380	Mr O'Connell (site resident)	Consultation	When and how were the residents of Broadwater Farm consulted about this, and what were the results of the consultation? Again, Mr O'Connell wanted to protest at how difficult it was to find out about this proposal, and to respond to the consultation. He just managed to attend the last event, in Northumberland Park, after he found out about it, but he was the only person from Broadwater Farm at this meeting.	Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
230	SA3381	Ms H Steel (local resident)	Consultation	On 3rd February 2015 I attended the St Ann's Area Forum, which was where I first learnt of these plans. There were only around 20 residents at the presentation, which is a minuscule proportion of the local population. Most residents are not aware of this threat to their local park. Even at this event the information was not clearly presented and was rushed through. A slide was momentarily displayed showing SA63, including that Lordship Rec was included. I was told that plan didn't mean the sites were identified for housing growth, it merely identified areas to aid community engagement in the improvement of the area. How is anyone supposed to meaningfully engage with these proposals if that is the level of information provided?	The St. Ann's Area Forum was an event used to advertise the (then) upcoming consultation. Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
233	SA3382	Rockstone Foundation	Consultation	Concerned that the local community have been isolated from the process and that many of the changes will not benefit them	Concern noted. Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
244	SA3383	N Turkan (adjacent resident)	Consultation	Haven't received formal information from the council. No details of what is proposed or why nor how to put forward comments. Expect to be fully consulted on proposals as affected.	Concern noted. Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England)

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					Regulations 2012.
245	SA3384	H Turkan (adjacent resident)	Consultation	Haven't received formal information from the council. No details of what is proposed or why nor how to put forward comments. Expect to be fully consulted on proposals as affected.	Noted. Any development on site will be subject to full council planning processes which include community consultation.
250	SA3385	Yusuf Mertdjan	Consultation	Has not been informed of this project, nor have many others. It should be a priority for all people who might be affected to be informed.	Noted. Any development on site will be subject to full council planning processes which include community consultation.
254	SA3386	Friends of Lordship Rec	Consultation	Text in SA63 does not match comments made by council officers regarding mass demolition and building on the park.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend site allocation to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
255	SA3387	Turkish Tangmere Steering Group	Consultation	All would like more information on the proposal.	Local residents will be consulted on any detailed plans for work in Tangmere as part of planning processes.
256	SA3388	Reardon family	Consultation	Lack of formal consultation on the proposals. Missed the drop in sessions because of lack of formal consultation and only heard about it through a leaflet campaign. Would argue have not been subject to a formal consultation period.	Concern noted. Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
256	SA3389	Reardon family	Consultation	Would like further information on consultation process including timescale, extension of consultation period, additional drop in sessions, other options, if tenants can move back to Moira Close under proposal, if secure tenants can access temporary buildings planned on Lordship Rec.	There are no detailed plans for redevelopment of Broadwater Farm at this stage. Communities will be consulted on any development proposals.
257	SA3390	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Consultation	Letters regarding consultation only went out in English and that council informed responses in Turkish would not be considered. This contravenes the duty of Council to consult all sections of the community equally about the plan.	Haringey Council provides a translating and interpreting service to Haringey residents,
335	SA3391	Dr Michelle Northrop	Consultation	Local residents have not been offered the opportunity to have a say due to language and education barriers e.g. documents not accessible for those who cannot read.	Council's Statement of Community Involvement sets out how council consults the community on plan-making. The council has followed its procedures as set out in this document.
335	SA3392	Dr Michelle Northrop	Consultation	Local residents have not been informed of proposals	Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
388	SA3393	Jim Powers and Olive Straker	Consultation	It is hard to believe that any changes in Tottenham could be contemplated without public support.	Noted. Proposals for Tottenham, and the rest of Haringey, will go through public consultation as required. The community will have an opportunity to have its say on both the plan making and planning application.
388	SA3394	Jim Powers and Olive Straker, Local resident – Gospatrick Road	Consultation	Any future changes need to be thought through carefully and local people given every opportunity to comment and be properly consulted before plans are developed.	There is a second opportunity for consultation before the document is submitted to the Secretary of State. Additionally any proposed development on site would be subject to council planning processes which include community consultation.
435	SA3395	Ertogrul Ibrahim (adjacent resident)	Consultation	It came to our attention, not through information provided by Council, or concerning proposals which involve building on the park and sports field. First we heard and extremely disappointed not to have had formal information from Council. The proposed development is so close to us as to have an impact on us and therefore we are entitled to be informed of what is proposed and to have our views heard. This is an extremely concerning and unsatisfactory situation.	Council followed its statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
388	SA3396	Jim Powers and Olive Straker	Consultation	We hope this ill conceived scheme will be abandoned or at least put on hold until the people of Tottenham have been given an	Notes. This consultation is the community's opportunity to have its say on the site allocation. Should any further plans be developed for

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

				opportunity to express their views and concerns.	this site they will be subject to consultation as part of the Council's planning processes.
95	SA3397	John and Murielle Porter-Weiss	Costs	Complete and unnecessary waste of money and valuable resources.	Noted.
243	SA3398	Tower Gardens Residents Group (local residents)	Costs	Social and environmental costs of demolishing BWF and surrounding buildings are not justified	The principal objective of carrying out housing investment is to build more, affordable, homes. It is considered that this would have social and environmental benefits for the area.
253	SA3399	Sofie Pelsmakers (local resident)	Costs	Sell off of public land for short terms gain is short-sighted as it is a one off payment but leads to permanent loss of open space. Appreciate council is short of money but raising money by selling off lungs and open space is short-terms and doesn't have the best interests of residents at heart.	Any development on the park would require a land swap to ensure no net loss of open space.
214	SA3400	Simon Butterworth (adjacent resident)	Costs	The sheer size of Lordship Rec selected for redevelopment betrays a truth which has less to do with the 'temporary location of residents' and far far more to do with maximizing profitable green belt land on which to build, probably in part to offset the lottery grant reclaim. It is staggeringly inefficient to build in the cost of repaying grant moneys by just enlarging the area of Lordship Rec which it is proposed to build on to offset this reclaim cost. It would be cheaper to pay every resident in a phase £10,000 for the temporary inconvenience than to pay the collective additional cost of replacing facilities and repaying grants.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend site allocation to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
225	SA3401	Dr Glenys E Law	Costs	This will be more expensive than envisaged, since law will have to be overturned and challenges will have to be fought in the courts.	Noted.
7	SA3402	Peter Thomlinson (local resident)	Council	Opposition to council and chief executive's positions including their ideas about privatisation and relationship with developers.	The principal objective of carrying out housing investment is to build more, affordable, homes. A delivery model has not been consulted upon.
7	SA3403	Peter Thomlinson (local resident)	Council	Call for abolition of Haringey council as a rogue council which is anti people and moving towards ambitions of Nazi Germany in 1930s and other such countries. I.e. displacement of people along ethnic, racial and economic grounds.	Noted.
167	SA3404	Reba Johnson	Council	Could understand if Tories doing it but not a Labour council.	Comment Noted.
105	SA3405	Hugh Knopf	Council	Local council should defend people who elected them by defending the land that belongs to them	Comment Noted.
210	SA3406	Noel Treacy	Council	Dismaying to learn of these and other proposals by a Council which seems to be determinedly insensitive to the local character of Tottenham.	The principal objective of carrying out housing investment is to build more, affordable, homes. A delivery model has not been consulted upon.
225	SA3407	Dr Glenys E Law	Council	This is our Borough, we voted in a Labour council, we did not vote for our assets to be sold off to the highest bidder. Wait until after the general election, in the hope that the next government is more supportive of real Londoners and their elected representatives and less in thrall to big business and foreign investment.	The principal objective of carrying out housing investment is to build more, affordable, homes. A delivery model has not been consulted upon.
242	SA3408	Karin Lock	Council	How can Haringey's Labour council face the people of Haringey without shame when it is we who pay your wages and also fund these stupid schemes? Labour is supposed to be the party of the worker but you are now in the arms of the rich and powerful. It's a disgrace.	The principal objective of carrying out housing investment is to build more, affordable, homes. A delivery model has not been consulted upon.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

231	SA3409	Diana Shelley (local resident)	Council	The responsibility of a Labour council is to represent and improve the lives of all the residents of the borough. It follows that any such council worth the name of Labour should be doing all it can to increase the amount of truly affordable housing, especially social rented homes, as many other Labour councils attempt to do.	The principal objective of carrying out housing investment is to build more, affordable, homes. A delivery model has not been consulted upon.
186	SA3410	Mark Jameson (local resident)	Current state	Many of the flats and houses are unacceptably small and inadequate	Noted. The proposal is to improve the quality of the houses currently in Broadwater Farm.
335	SA3411	Dr Michelle Northrop	Current state	Existing houses are in better care than many flats in high rises, where there are problems e.g. damp, poor access and sense of safety.	Noted.
231	SA3412	Diana Shelley (local resident)	Demolition	In a recession, council housing should only be demolished if beyond repair. All people should be rehoused on the same site or nearby on the same conditions, or better, and at real affordable rents (not the 80% of market rents nonsense). The actual buildings should provide the same or better standards. Only improved housing for those currently living on these sites can justify the years of demolition, construction and accompanying disruption and health impacts.	Noted, details of any future offer made to any residents affected is outside the scope of the Plan. Development would only be permitted if it can demonstrably improve the quality of housing stock on the site.
257	SA3413	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Demolition	Possibility of demolition has been broached with council staff over various meeting in February and March 2015 where it was agreed that demolition and relocation could be necessary. It is obvious the inclusion of BWF in SA DPD does make demolitions of council blocks on BWF a possibility and not only Tangmere in the absence of any statement in the plan to the contrary.	Noted. All options for this site will be explored in order to come up with the best model for housing improvements.
386	SA3414	Colin P. Holyhead	Demolition	Broadwater Farm and the surrounding areas, such as Somerset Close and Lido Square, are less than fifty years old and I cannot understand why they should be demolished or redeveloped. The life of a house should be 100 to 150 years, with maintenance and service ongoing.	Noted. The site allocation provides for improvements to the estate including the housing stock. A delivery model has not been consulted open. The site allocation allows for all options to be considered.
253	SA3415	Sofie Pelsmakers (local resident)	Density	Normally 2 private houses are required for each social unit. So justification to build on open land is that unit will need to increase to accommodate both types. What will the density be? How is it justified when need more not less open space? If this is not the case not all residents can be re-housed. If decanting is the reason who will pay if no new private units are created? If just a decant why not provide open space on old BWF which will be freed up after a few phases of development.	It is noted that providing a financially viable development under standard private market conditions on this site would be challenging.
200	SA3416	Ebony Riddell Bamber (adjacent resident)	Density	Council is supporting high density mixed tenure developments for estates in Tottenham which is a worrying development for the whole community.	Noted.
214	SA3417	Simon Butterworth (adjacent resident)	Density	The density of population adjacent to Lordship Lane Recreation Ground would leave a far higher number of people with access to a much smaller park.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend site allocation to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
188	SA3418	Albertina Benedetti-Hall (local resident)	Developers	Giving more land, power and facilities to developers will continue to make the social crisis we face worse. We don't need to fracture communities to create investment opportunities for the wealthy.	The principal objective of carrying out housing investment is to build more, affordable, homes. A delivery model has not been consulted upon.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

127	SA3419	Luci Davin (local resident)	Disruption	Concerned about damage and disruption to residents, schools and the children's centre.	There are no current plans for any redevelopment on the site. If development is proposed for the estate it will be required to meet the development management policies which will seek to minimise disruption on site.
237	SA3420	Broadwater United Sports and Football Association	Diversity	BWF has successfully integrated all peoples who have adopted our estate for their home. It is this diversity that has given strength and creativity in all of its endeavours and we wish to see this model of community development continuing.	Noted. Council shares the community's aspirations for community development.
231	SA3421	Diana Shelley (local resident)	Document	Maps presented in a way which meant the sites were hard to find because few street names.	Noted. Maps will be updated in the next iteration of the document.
248	SA3422	Stuart Philip Gillings and Ruth Pattison (adjacent residents)	Document	Lack of detail in plan document.	Noted. The plan sets requirements for development should the site come forward in the future for development. Its role is not to provide detailed plans on development.
254	SA3423	Friends of Lordship Rec	Document	Information provided is inadequate, biased and deliberately misleading. The information provided is not enough to respond meaningfully.	Noted.
256	SA3424	Reardon family	Document	Document did not indicate proposals to demolish and redevelop Moira Close and that in danger of losing our homes.	The site allocation does not provide for demolition of any buildings. Instead it sets out requirements that must be met if any improvements to the site are to be made.
257	SA3425	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Document	The official summary in the DPD is inaccurate, vague, misleading and meaningless. This renders any meaningful consultation impossible or more likely biased in favour of the councils unilateral agenda for the area.	The site allocations document introduces key development sites which may accommodate growth in Haringey. It sets perimeters and further guidance for development on each site. Any proposed development would be assessed against the development management policies which provide more detailed information about what may be allowed on site.
801	SA3426	Sam Davies	Document	Very little information about the proposed development of the Broad Water Farm estate is provided in the above document. I am very concerned that the map shown includes a large piece of Lordship Rec within the area for development. It would be unacceptable to lose any part of Lordship Rec in order to add more housing. Lordship Rec is a key asset and one of the few areas within Tottenham that has a large amount of space for the community.	The site allocations document introduces key development sites which may accommodate growth in Haringey. It sets perimeters and further guidance for development on each site. Lordship recreation ground was included to provide the option of retaining people within the community if required by any redevelopment. The park is protected metropolitan land and can only be built under in exception circumstances. In this case it would be re-provided with the estate resulting in no net loss of open space.
818	SA3427	Our Tottenham - Claire Colomb	Document	These are our views: 1. The information provided is inadequate, biased and appears to be deliberately misleading in hiding the real intentions. It is not possible, on the information provided, to understand or respond meaningfully. For this reason alone this proposal should be withdrawn.	Noted.
230	SA3428	Ms H Steel (local resident)	Document	It is unclear what is being proposed, particularly in relation to the park - the text is extremely vague.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground will be removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
230	SA3429	Ms H Steel (local resident)	Document	I have since heard that Lordship Rec is included within the proposals because land is needed for temporary housing if	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground will be removed from the allocation.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

				Broadwater Farm Estate is demolished. This is not identified in SA63, so if it is true there has been no opportunity for informed feedback.	Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
119	SA3430	Ceri Williams (local resident)	Document	This is the most inaccessible document. It cannot be called public consultation when incomprehensible to the general public.	Noted. Council will work to ensure the next iteration of the document is easier to understand.
138	SA3431	Federico Calboli	Economics	Economically the plan doesn't make sense. The site was one of the last developed as in a poor location and building on the rec would be proportionally more expensive and generate a lower return for the council than alternative sites.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground will be removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
171	SA3432	Frances Bradshaw	Economics	Financial gains to council from housing redevelopment should not blind LBH to the best interests of the community.	Noted.
170	SA3433	Philippa Snoaden (local resident)	Economics	Apart from loss of a greatly improved amenity it seems financial madness. Lots of money has gone into improving BWF. Why spend more money knocking it down especially when the council is supposed to be cash strapped and has no money for new building.	The Council believe that it is possible to improve the estates in terms of building stock, access, and permeability.
248	SA3434	Stuart Philip Gillings and Ruth Pattison (adjacent residents)	Effects	Households in immediate area will be affected by demolition and construction. Quality of life and environment will be detrimentally affected. Gains to quality of life which have resulted from voluntary work and obtaining funding will be thrown away.	Any development will be subject to the development management policies which will address the effects of the development on neighbouring properties.
257	SA3435	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Equality	Draft Housing Strategy Appendix C indicates Black households are particularly affected by homelessness'. Reducing social housing will mean Black households are disproportionately likely to be forced to leave the borough and London. This is additional nature of discriminatory nature of plans to demolish BWF.	There will be no net loss of social housing by habitable room.
257	SA3436	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Equality	The Local Plan policy will discriminate against black households and the consultation was carried out in a way that excluded Turkish speakers. Both these factors breach the commitment in the Equal Opportunities Policy of April 2012 to the fair provision of services.	Council followed statutory requirements for consultation in line with its Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. This included a commitment to provide information in any of the most widely spoken languages in the borough, including Turkish. Particular attention was made with the holding of a meeting with Kurdish speakers in the Estate.
257	SA3437	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Equality	Incomes in East Haringey have reduced between 2010 and 12/13 (increased in west). Black households are more represented in east, white in west. Initial data on shared ownership schemes show black and ethnic minority buyers are under-represented in schemes and white overrepresented in comparison with representation in population overall in Haringey. This evidence shows that over time black residents in Haringey may not benefit from plans to build more houses in the borough through promoting affordable home ownership in east Haringey.	Noted.
253	SA3438	Sofie Pelsmakers (local resident)	Equality	Has an equality impact assessment been done? Building will severely impact certain people in the community more than others.	An EQIA is being carried out as part of the Sustainability Appraisal of the plan preparation.
220	SA3439	Daniel Cressey (local resident)	Estate development	I have no objection to improving the Broadwater Farm estate. While Haringey clearly needs more homes these must not come at the expense of facilities that make the area liveable for local people.	Noted.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

227	SA3440	A Crawford, K Docherty and J Crawford-Docherty	Estate development	Recognise BWF estate given its age and concrete construction may not be future proof and development and improvement of housing stock in this area may be beneficial as long as those with secure accommodation are offered to be housed within any new development.	This is not directly a planning issue, but will be dealt with through the emerging rehousing strategy.
155	SA3441	David Corio (local resident)	Estate investment	The area has markedly improved in recent years due to improvements at BWF. Proposals will set the area back and destroy the reputation of your council.	There are no proposals for the area other than the improvement of the local housing stock.
151	SA3442	Sylvia Oland (local resident)	Estate investment	Estate is most stable it has been, already has high rises and is only 30 years old. Shouldn't need to demolish 30 year old buildings in good condition.	The site allocation allows for the improvement of the estate and housing stock. No delivery model has been consulted upon.
190	SA3443	Hasibe Guler (21 signature petition)	Estate investment	Some of the homes are brand new and others have had features i.e. doors, windows and kitchens renewed by council.	Noted.
247	SA3444	Christopher Currie (local resident)	Estate investment	Proposed redevelopment seems pointless. Community and facilities built up would be destroyed, recent refurbishment wasted and proportion of social housing would fall to be replaced by buy-to-leave units owned by non-resident foreign investors.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
193	SA3445	Maureen Black (local resident)	Estate investment	BWF has benefited from significant investment which has transformed the estate over the last 30 years. It makes no sense to demolish it now, thereby throwing away all the investment in the estate.	The site allocation allows for the improvement of the estate and housing stock. No delivery model has been consulted upon.
206	SA3446	Anne Harvey-Kirkwood (adjacent resident)	Estate investment	Most of the properties are very modern and in good liveable condition having been erected since the 1950s.	There is evidence to suggest that not all of the stock is of a high quality.
231	SA3447	Diana Shelley (local resident)	Estate investment	Incomprehensible to include so called 'redevelopment' of such sites as Broadwater Farm, where the Council, supported by local residents, has invested so much to improve the estate over so many years. It would be an extraordinary misuse of council taxpayers' money to destroy what has been achieved in such places.	The site allocation allows for the improvement of the estate and housing stock. No delivery model has been consulted upon.
237	SA3448	Broadwater United Sports and Football Association	Estate investment	The estate has undergone much redevelopment to create a peaceful and safe community and in recent years, this dream has been recognised.	Noted. There are no detailed plans for any redevelopment of the area. However, council recognises the good work done by the community and the importance of this continuing in any proposed development.
257	SA3449	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Estate investment	BWF provides decent quality housing for thousands and is a strong, vibrant community. Huge amounts of money have been spent on providing new features and facilities, All residents want to look to future on the estate not the needless disruption by demolition and decants.	Concern regarding possibility of demolition noted. There are no detailed plans for any redevelopment of the area.
818	SA3450	Our Tottenham	Estate redevelopment	The information portrays what the Council must have known are highly controversial proposals as being 'Potential improvements of the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site and routes through the area.' This may sound innocuous. Yet local community reps' conversations with planning officers [1] revealed the real agenda is to promote mass demolitions of structurally sound homes, accompanied by house-building on the neighbouring park. Further, no information is provided on why the 3 Housing Association estates in the northern part of the	The site allocation allows for the improvement of the estate and housing stock. No delivery model has been consulted upon.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

				'zone' are included, but it transpires that buried in the 'Development Management Policies' is a poorly printed Map 2.2 showing that the Council bizarrely want to promote future Tall Buildings across these relatively recently-built low-level estates.	
257	SA3451	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Estate redevelopment	Reason for Alt53 implies that estates on regeneration lost will not receive further decent homes work but will face a different sort of future.	The strategic policies explanation only identifies that there are limitations to the Decent Homes programme. It does not make any commitments regarding the future implementation of it.
257	SA3452	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Estate redevelopment	Thousands of council homes are at risk of demolition. Alt53 lists other sites for regeneration. There is a proposal to build 2,000 extra homes on Northumberland Park estate. This will involve the demolition of many homes. Residents are being consulted about regeneration and therefore potential demolition across various sites. Concerned with such a reduction in council housing, decanted residents from BWF may end up with limited choice, if any, of where to move to.	There will be no net loss of affordable housing by habitable room.
257	SA3453	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Estate redevelopment	The clear implication of Alt64 is that estates on the list may well be knocked down and replaced with high density mixed tenure developments with a relatively low percentage of social rented housing.	There will be no net loss of affordable housing by habitable room.
214	SA3454	Simon Butterworth (adjacent resident)	Estate redevelopment	It would be possible to build the same or greater housing within BWF and surrounds without building on a protected park.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground will be removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
272	SA3455	Mr R J Ferguson	Estate redevelopment	Understand council cash strapped but it is now proposing, at great expense to demolish good housing at BWF and eliminate social and sporting facilities. They would then build new accommodation elsewhere to rehouse displaced people.	The site allocation proposes improvements to the estate including its housing stock. No delivery model has been consulted on.
818	SA3456	Our Tottenham	Estate redevelopment	This proposed demolition and redevelopment zone includes not only the estate and all its marvelous community facilities, but also Somerset Close, Lido Square, Moira Close and the private houses along Lordship Lane to the north. We have been told that the proposal would include housing to be built on a large chunk of the north end of Lordship Recreation Ground, including the enclosed sports field, to re-house some of those displaced by any future demolitions. Temporarily or permanently? It also doesn't say	Lordship Recreation Ground will be removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
818	SA3457	Our Tottenham	Estate redevelopment	This totally unnecessary attack on 4 social and Council housing estates and Tottenham's recently-regenerated and largest public park would cause massive stress to all concerned, displacement and disruption for years, and undermine all the successful efforts over decades to build a strong and stable local community and to improve local facilities. Local residents have worked long and hard to make Broadwater Farm one of the most attractive and well-served estates in the UK, and to make Lordship Rec the great park it now is including the sports field, home of Broadwater United youth football teams. The estate and park have won many national awards for successful community-led regeneration and empowerment, and are now admired throughout the UK and beyond. The Council should be celebrating what has been	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground will be removed from the allocation. In terms of the estates council supports the successful efforts which have built a strong community. Any proposed development in this area would be looking to build on these achievements. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.

				achieved instead of allowing planners to dream up outrageous proposals to destroy existing homes and facilities and break up our communities.	
818	SA3458	Our Tottenham	Estate redevelopment	Broadwater Farm The residents, and the Residents Association, have worked with the Council to dramatically improve the estate over the last 30 yrs. It now has great facilities, play areas, landscaping, health centre, community centre, schools and so on. In the last 6 years a range of 'decent homes' refurbishments and repairs to homes and blocks have been made yet bizarrely it has now been put on a list of Council estates facing potential redevelopment. We say it is the Councils duty as the landlord to finish off all the works started and ensure any repairs are done promptly. [See BWFRA statement/objection]	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
818	SA3459	Our Tottenham	Estate redevelopment	We will only accept the inclusion of the Broadwater Farm on the Site Allocations list if it is stated clearly on the Site Allocation for Broadwater Farm that homes will be improved but none will be demolished.	The site allocation provides for the improvement of housing stock. It does not go in to detail about how this will be achieved. All options will be considered for any future improvements.
818	SA3460	Our Tottenham	Estate redevelopment	Gavin Ball from the Councils Planning Department told a rep from the Friends of Lordship Rec that a deliberately large zone was chosen for maximum flexibility. It included additional powers to achieve redevelopment. He said there would be no net loss of open space, but that could include a realignment or a land swap. [He didnt say where]. Most of the land in the zone is owned by the Council, but additional powers could include increased powers of compulsory purchase of private land such as the homes on Lordship Lane. When challenged about the proposed re-designation of the 3 low-rise estates in the northern part of the zone as suitable for tall buildings, he said that this is because they are close to transport routes. We note, however, that this directly contradicts policies which protect the existing character of each neighbourhood, in this case the low-rise nature of all the existing buildings on and around those 3 estates.	Comments noted.
818	SA3461	Our Tottenham	Estate redevelopment	Matthew Patterson, the Councils Interim Head of Policy, Strategic Transport and Infrastructure, also confirmed to a rep from the Friends of Lordship Rec on 20 February that the inclusion of the northern part of Lordship Rec in the development zone is for the power to build housing to decant the residents of Broadwater Farm (or many of them) into that area of the park otherwise the demolitions on the estate could not go ahead due to the impracticalities of re-homing those affected during the demolition and redevelopment works.	Comments noted.
818	SA3462	Our Tottenham	Estate redevelopment	Steve Kelly from the Councils Planning Department spoke at the Tangmere Steering Committee on Broadwater Farm in February and when challenged admitted that the land on Lordship Recreation Ground would be needed for housing for people displaced by any demolitions on Broadwater Farm.	Comments noted.
818	SA3463	Our Tottenham	Estate renewal	See our comment in the overall response about the SA DPD, about the Housing Estate Renewal approach taken by the Council in the SA DPD, Tottenham AAP and Alterations to Strategic Policies. We contest the 'red lining' of housing estates for future	Noted. The site allocations role is not to provide detailed information on plans for the area. Rather it sets requirements which should be met if this site were to come forward for development in the future. If any development does occur there would be no net loss of affordable housing by habitable room and it would be subject

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

				redevelopment into 'mixed communities' on the grounds explained in our comment: poor engagement with residents, potential for displacement and net loss with social housing.	to engagement with the local community as part of the Council's planning processes.
345	SA3464	S. Dobie	Estate renewal	Question as to where existing residents will be located.	This is not directly a planning issue, but will be dealt with through the emerging rehousing strategy.
579	SA3465	Laura Harrison, resident	Estate Renewal	I am concerned about the proposals for this site, as this estate seems to have a well-established long term community.	Noted. There are no detailed plans for any development of this site. Any proposed development will be undertaken in consultation with the community.
247	SA3466	Christopher Currie (local resident)	Events	Park's north area is only area in Tottenham for large scale outdoor community events including successful park festivals.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground will be removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
422	SA3467	Environment Agency	Flood Risk Assessment of Sites of 1ha or more	<p>The development guidelines for these sites should be amended to reflect the fact that a Flood Risk Assessment will be required, as stipulated by footnote 20 to National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 103.</p> <p>It is also a requirement of London Plan policy 5.13 that all sites over 1ha in size shall make use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS), which should also be included in the site requirements or the development guidelines. Haringey's Local Plan strategic policy SP5 also places a requirement on all development to implement SuDS to improve water attenuation, quality and amenity. We suggest the following wording:</p> <p>A Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) must be undertaken to understand the flood risks of the site pre and post development. Development must be safe for future users, not increase flood risk on or off site, and utilise SuDS in accordance with NPPG and London Plan.</p> <p>We are pleased that the SWMP designated Critical Drainage Areas (CDAs) have been included within the considerations for the allocated sites where they are present. Where CDAs are present you may also wish to consider the inclusion of more stringent design guidelines to make it clearer to developers what this means for the design of the development. We suggest the following additional wording as a minimum:</p> <p>This site falls within a Critical Drainage Area (CDA). Development of this site must be shown, in a Flood Risk Assessment, to achieve a runoff rate of Greenfield or lower.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Action: Addition of a development guideline noting that a flood risk assessment is required. Council's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment further outlines when an assessment is required and what it should include.</p>
224	SA3468	Anonymous (local resident)	Friends group	I know the Friends will be against it. Of course they have done a great deal for the Rec and everyone has to appreciate that. But they have also made some mistakes. The hub lay unused for far too long as a result of their rigidity and even now it is a wonderful	Noted.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

				resource that is under-used as a result of their narrow approach to its management. It is less used than the café in Downhills Park despite being bigger with a much better kitchen. The Friends are too dominated by one strong personality and do not have a monopoly on community in this area.	
278	SA3469	Ms S C Allen	Future	Understanding of the draft suggests this proposal will abolish plans I have been developing for over 15 years and which I had hoped to make progress throughout 2015-2016 I am now stripped of any confidence for my future and this has caused me extreme concern and distress.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
90	SA3470	Tessa Forbes	Gentrification	Proposal indicates council's priorities for profit and gentrification over the values of a long term community and stable community conditions produced by local people and their investment of time and energy.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
181	SA3471	Amanda Latimer	Gentrification	It is example of council working for a small section of borough rather than every citizen including poor and marginalised. Attempt to gentrify Tottenham rather than improve existing facilities so everyone has a place and a chance to succeed. Where will the poor go?	The plans for Tottenham aim to improve the quality of life for exiting residents and potential residents.
155	SA3472	David Corio (local resident)	GLA targets	Council does not need to bend to the whims (housing targets) of a mayor who will not be in office in a few years.	Council's local plans are required to be in general conformity with the London Plan and therefore housing targets.
335	SA3473	Dr Michelle Northrop	Health	Residents and families in Moira Close and local area provide support networks for each other; concern that residents might be separated, which could create a higher burden or illness from mental health and depression .	Noted.
146	SA3474	Louise Hannah (local resident)	Height	Suggests remodelling of Broadwater Farm to accommodate more units possibly by increasing heights. Similar to Bellway Lawrence Road development.	Noted.
160	SA3475	Eva Atkins	Height	Concerned about 6-11 stories on existing estate and low rise estate next to Lordship Lane. Limit to 3-4 storeys max.	The height requirements set out in the policy are drawn from the analysis of urban form contained within the UCS, and are suitable to deliver the spatial vision for the area. Detailed design will be required on all sites to gain planning permission, but the heights set out in the document are considered appropriate to enable development that brings change while having an acceptable impact on the rest of the borough.
214	SA3476	Simon Butterworth (adjacent resident)	Height	Development at 6 to 11 stories around the north and east ends of Lordship Lane Recreation Ground crowd the park substantially.	The height requirements set out in the policy are drawn from the analysis of urban form contained within the UCS, and are suitable to deliver the spatial vision for the area. Detailed design will be required on all sites to gain planning permission, but the heights set out in the document are considered appropriate to enable development that brings change while having an acceptable impact on the rest of the borough.
222	SA3477	Fraser Borwick	Height	Current blocks are about 6 floors with one a bit higher. Can you make a mix of tall tower blocks and low rise, to provide a range of choice for the residents? Some people like high rise, some people like low rise. Broadwater Farm could be a bit higher in places. And this would allow for some low rise as well.	Noted. There are not currently plans for redevelopment of Broadwater Farm. However, the height of different blocks would be considered at the detailed design stage of any proposed development.
155	SA3478	David Corio (local resident)	Height	We do not need high rise buildings in the area	The height requirements set out in the policy are drawn from the analysis of urban form contained within the UCS, and are suitable to deliver the spatial vision for the area. Detailed design will be required on all sites to gain planning permission, but the heights set

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					out in the document are considered appropriate to enable development that brings change while having an acceptable impact on the rest of the borough.
231	SA3479	Diana Shelley (local resident)	Height	Policies limiting the height of buildings should be strictly adhered to, having particular regard to overlooking, loss of sunlight to neighbouring buildings, not creating wind tunnels etc.	All planning applications must be assessed against the development management policies which set out requirements for good design including overlooking, sunlight and daylight requirements and should be designed to minimise wind tunnelling.
243	SA3480	Tower Gardens Residents Group (local residents)	Height	6 storeys across the south of Lordship lane would have major impact in the attractive and well planned appearance of the estate.	The height requirements set out in the policy are drawn from the analysis of urban form contained within the UCS, and are suitable to deliver the spatial vision for the area. Detailed design will be required on all sites to gain planning permission, but the heights set out in the document are considered appropriate to enable development that brings change while having an acceptable impact on the rest of the borough.
818	SA3481	Our Tottenham	Height	Somerset Close, Lido Square and Moira Close These are pleasant low-rise estates of secure and affordable housing, most with gardens. Council planners, however, have somehow proposed these particular estates to be suitable for tall buildings of 6-11 storeys in the future. This is unacceptable. Some key Council policies regarding its suburban character and tall buildings include the Local Plan (2013), SP11: - All development shall be of design that respects its local context and character and historic significance, to contribute to the creation and enhancement of Haringeys sense of place and identity. - 6.1.15 Haringey is characterised by predominately low-rise (2-3 storeys) residential suburban development across the borough and 3-4 storey development in its town centres.	The height requirements set out in the policy are drawn from the analysis of urban form contained within the UCS, and are suitable to deliver the spatial vision for the area. Detailed design will be required on all sites to gain planning permission, but the heights set out in the document are considered appropriate to enable development that brings change while having an acceptable impact on the rest of the borough.
248	SA3482	Stuart Philip Gillings and Ruth Pattison (adjacent residents)	Height	Commitment in SP11 to restrict development to design that respects its local context (predominantly 2-3 storeys in this area)	The height requirements set out in the policy are drawn from the analysis of urban form contained within the UCS, and are suitable to deliver the spatial vision for the area. Detailed design will be required on all sites to gain planning permission, but the heights set out in the document are considered appropriate to enable development that brings change while having an acceptable impact on the rest of the borough.
254	SA3483	Friends of Lordship Rec	Height	Commitment in SP11 to restrict development to design that respects its local context (predominantly 2-3 storeys in this area)	The height requirements set out in the policy are drawn from the analysis of urban form contained within the UCS, and are suitable to deliver the spatial vision for the area. Detailed design will be required on all sites to gain planning permission, but the heights set out in the document are considered appropriate to enable development that brings change while having an acceptable impact on the rest of the borough.
193	SA3484	Maureen Black (local resident)	Height	Tottenham already has many concentrations of high density housing; Council should not demolish low rise development to build more high rises.	The height requirements set out in the policy are drawn from the analysis of urban form contained within the UCS, and are suitable to deliver the spatial vision for the area. Detailed design will be required on all sites to gain planning permission, but the heights set out in the document are considered appropriate to enable development that brings change while having an acceptable impact on the rest of the borough.
254	SA3485	Friends of Lordship Rec	Height	Somerset Close, Lido Square and Moira Close are pleasant low-rise states of secure and affordable housing with gardens. These	The height requirements set out in the policy are drawn from the analysis of urban form contained within the UCS, and are suitable to

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

				areas have been deemed suitable for 6-11 storeys. This is unacceptable.	deliver the spatial vision for the area. Detailed design will be required on all sites to gain planning permission, but the heights set out in the document are considered appropriate to enable development that brings change while having an acceptable impact on the rest of the borough.
813	SA3486	Lynne Zilkha	Height	I object to the idea that the construction of tall buildings above 5 levels provides an answer to overpopulation or can function as a tool for regeneration. The danger is that without first attracting employment into the area, new housing will serve either as a base for people working outside the area or an area of accommodation concentrated on those without employment or access to essential amenities. This will not meet the aims of the Local Plan for the area. It is to be hoped that the council will learn from the lessons of Broadwater Farm in 1980s and the Tottenham riots of 2011 and concentrate not on retail outlets which offer things which local people cannot afford, but on employment and good quality housing.	The height requirements set out in the policy are drawn from the analysis of urban form contained within the UCS, and are suitable to deliver the spatial vision for the area. Detailed design will be required on all sites to gain planning permission, but the heights set out in the document are considered appropriate to enable development that brings change while having an acceptable impact on the rest of the borough.
191	SA3487	Russell Wyatt (local resident)	Housing	It has been said council is trying to attract higher income residents. Facilities like Lordship Rec are what attract house buyers. House prices have surged in the neighbourhood. The parks are a feature that makes Tottenham an attractive place to live.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground will be removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
120	SA3488	Chris Hutton (site resident)	Housing	These proposals would take away much needed housing and the case for demolition has not been made. Council surveys show 63% of Tangmere oppose the proposals.	The site allocation provides for the improvement of the estate including housing stock. No delivery model has been consulted on.
138	SA3489	Federico Calboli	Housing	The plan is not thought through. Is the plan to move people from Lordship Rec back to the old site? How much will it cost and who will build it. Considering 2 dwellings are usually built and sold for one social unit. Size would need to be as big as Barbican towers to re-house council tenants.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
199	SA3490	Amanda Wright (local resident)	Housing	Any demolition of BWF should allow like for like housing opportunities for all that live there. This should apply for any estate in Haringey. No loss of social housing.	Any development would be required to ensure no net loss of affordable housing floorspace.
225	SA3491	Dr Glenys E Law	Housing	Local homes should be for local people, communities should be protected by their council and not broken up and forced out in order to allow private companies to make a profit.	Noted. The site allocation provides for estate improvements including to the housing stock. No delivery model has been consulted on.
255	SA3492	Turkish Tangmere Steering Group	Housing	Would like to live in a better place	Noted.
277	SA3493	Anonymous 2 (local resident)	Housing	Against: possible high buildings, replacing existing housing, means less space for people, and more overcrowding.	Noted.
818	SA3494	Our Tottenham	Housing	Demolition of housing on Broadwater Farm would lead to a huge loss of socially rented, genuinely affordable housing. Current options for 'Affordable Rent' housing or Shared Ownership housing on the site would not be genuinely affordable for the majority of households in Tottenham. Demolition and rebuilding would contravene Haringey's commitment to equal opportunities.	The site allocation provides for the improvement of the estate including housing stock. No delivery model has been consulted on. Any development would be required to ensure no net loss of affordable housing floorspace.
139	SA3495	Tracey Tindall	Housing - affordable	Once land has been designated for building development, even if temporarily used by BWF residents, it will be used for greatest profit by developers in the future. The vast majority of housing would be unaffordable for local residents with a small token	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

				amount of affordable housing.	
191	SA3496	Russell Wyatt (local resident)	Housing - affordable	Understand there is a need for affordable housing however there is already plenty at BWF. If new developments are sole at market price this will price many of the existing residents out of the area.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
218	SA3497	Jules Holroyd	Housing - affordable	Protect these values that are so important in the lives of so many communities in Haringey. Affordable housing, in attractive and well resourced communities, should be the priority for Haringey Council.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
223	SA3498	Tarin Unwin	Housing - affordable	Genuinely affordable housing is needed more than ever when the cost of privately renting or getting a mortgage is increasingly out of reach for people on ordinary incomes.	Noted.
231	SA3499	Diana Shelley (local resident)	Housing - affordable	At this time of reduced spending on affordable housing and Government choosing not to limit rents which can be charged by private landlords, there is widespread evidence of homelessness, overcrowding, poor housing and financial hardship caused by unaffordable rents. These problems impact directly on thousands of people, particularly children, whose future lives and prospects are being directly harmed.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
236	SA3500	Catherine Collingborn (local resident)	Housing - affordable	Residents displaced as a result of this proposal would not be guaranteed similar and secure local accommodation at a reasonable cost. Properties should be renovated and refurbished, the current programme completed to avoid demolition.	While not strictly a planning issue this will be addressed through council's rehousing strategy.
242	SA3501	Karin Lock	Housing - affordable	Offering such a low rate of affordable accommodation that it amounts to skulduggery. This is blatant theft of public land for private use. By demolishing council homes and replacing them with private, overpriced apartments, you are depriving hard-working families from the opportunity to live and work in London.	Any development would be required to ensure no net loss of affordable housing floorspace.
257	SA3502	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Housing - affordable	Alt 64 indicates council has no intention to re-provide an equal quantity of social housing when it demolishes states. It does indicate no net loss of affordable housing floor space. Affordable housing is a broad term and is not the same as social housing with a permanent tenancy that is let at a social housing rent similar to those currently charged for social housing. Alt 64 indicates would be either shared ownership or 'affordable' rented housing at up to 80% of market rent.	Any development would be required to ensure no net loss of affordable housing floorspace.
257	SA3503	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Housing - affordable	Appendix C (Consultation on draft housing strategy 2015-20) neither option above is likely to be affordable for BWF residents. Let us take rents at 65% (blended average of rents for Affordable Houses in London and Haringey) £812.50 per month. This is about the affordable amount for a household on mean Haringey household income of £33,140. The same report says median household income in West Green is barely £20,000 per year. This would indicate affordable housing built on BWF is likely to be unaffordable for residents. The report is clear that shared ownership homes will not be affordable to the majority of BWF residents. Shared ownership homes in Tottenham require a minimum household income of £34,709 per year.	Noted, these issues are not within the scope of the Plan.
257	SA3504	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Housing - affordable	Given reductions in government grants for new build social housing it is unlikely Haringey Council could demolish an estate and re-provision anything but a small proportion of the homes at	Any development would be required to ensure no net loss of affordable housing floorspace.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

				social rent. So called affordable rented housing and shared ownership are outside incomes of most BWF and Tottenham residents.	
623	SA3505	Cllr Felicia Opoku, and separate identical response as local resident	Housing - affordable	There should not be a loss to the existing social housing on this site. It should be on a like for like basis	Any development would be required to ensure no net loss of affordable housing floorspace.
223	SA3506	Tarin Unwin	Housing - affordable	Transferring tenancies to Housing Associations from the Council usually means increases in rents and a reduction in tenancy rights.	Noted.
345	SA3507	S. Dobie	Housing - affordable	Most rents in London are not affordable for the average person. Question as to who the affordable housing will be for.	Noted.
249	SA3508	Hornsey Action Group	Housing - density	Welcome the formal adoption of the Mayor's Housing SPG (2012) to replace the Housing SPD. Much of this document is a useful explanation/commentary of how to interpret London Plan Policy 3.4 which incorporates the housing density matrix.	Noted.
571	SA3509	Matthew Bradby, Chair, Tottenham Civic Society	Housing - private	It is noted that the overall site includes a large number of private freeholds fronting Lordship Lane.	Yes Lordship Lane properties have been included in the site allocation.
130	SA3510	Issy Harvey (local resident)	Housing - social	Dismantling of BWF would not be an upgrade. Heart would be torn out of the area for private profit. Gains short and losses forever.	The site allocation provides for improvements to the estate but no delivery model has been consulted on.
138	SA3511	Federico Calboli	Housing - social	I strongly object to the sale of public property, as it causes an irreparable loss to the taxpayer.	The site allocation provides for improvements to the estate but no delivery model has been consulted on.
129	SA3512	Catherine Suttle	Housing – social	The loss of council housing cannot be allowed especially in this area where so many people need good quality, affordable and secure housing.	Any development would be required to ensure no net loss of affordable housing floorspace.
146	SA3513	Louise Hannah (local resident)	Housing – social	Appreciates need for more houses however concerns that less social housing is being built and less mixed tenure development and profits for developers are unprecedented.	Noted.
221	SA3514	Mr O'Connell (site resident)	Housing – social	Will Broadwater Farm estate still be social housing? Will the rents go up?	Any development would be required to ensure no net loss of affordable housing floorspace
222	SA3515	Fraser Borwick	Housing – social	Can you ensure that the new housing at Broadwater Farm is social housing, or at least represents the exact same mix of social and private that currently exists?	It is not within the Plan's scope to guarantee social rents. Potential options for any affected residents will be brought forward through the Council's housing estates team.
251	SA3516	Inga Bystram	Housing – social	No mention of secure tenants on BWF being charged social housing rents rather than so called affordable housing rents of re-housed.	It is not within the Plan's scope to guarantee social rents. Potential options for any affected residents will be brought forward through the Council's housing estates team.
231	SA3517	Diana Shelley (local resident)	Housing – social	I have been told that Haringey believes there should be fewer council homes and that there should be a greater social mix. That is happening because of the unregulated property market, with increasing polarisation between those who can buy and those who can't. Further plans for social engineering to create an even greater divide are both unnecessary and offensive.	The Council doesn't have an aspiration to reduce Council homes, but would encourage an improved mix of housing tenures across the borough. This is the opposite of an aim targeting division.
161	SA3518	Fr James Hill	Housing - temporary	In a densely populated area with little open space using half of a much beloved playing field for 'temporary' housing is ridiculous. Local children have little space to run around and live in cramped conditions.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground will be removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

226	SA3519	Alyson Brewer (local resident)	Housing - development	Understand the need for housing development in Tottenham however this should not be at the expense of open spaces which are a significant part of what makes the borough a great place to live.	Noted. Lordship Recreation Ground will be removed from the allocation. Action: Amend site boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground.
227	SA3520	A Crawford, K Docherty and J Crawford- Docherty	Housing - development	We are not opposed to a quality housing development that recognises the needs of the community.	Noted.
242	SA3521	Karin Lock	Housing - development	The council is building homes in other protected areas such as along the canal and near the nature reserve	Noted.
200	SA3522	Ebony Riddell Bamber (adjacent resident)	Housing targets	Meeting housing targets should not be at the expense of open spaces.	Council policies resist the loss of designated open spaces. Any open space required for housing development would only occur where another piece of land could be substituted resulting in no net loss of open space. In this case Lordship recreation ground has been removed from the allocation.
253	SA3523	Sofie Pelsmakers (local resident)	Impact assessment	Has impact assessment been done for noise/dust/pollution from demolition of BWF? Has an environmental assessment been done for social, financial and environmental costs of demolition versus upgrade?	There are no detailed plans for redevelopment of Broadwater farm. If redevelopment is proposed for Broadwater Farm then these kinds of studies would be undertaken.
109	SA3524	Will Embliss	Improved estate	Estate has improved dramatically. Has great facilities, play areas, health centre, schools. Repairs should be completed rather than knocking them down.	Noted.
162	SA3525	J Skinner (adjacent resident)	Investment	Doesn't understand why council is deciding to demolish estate and neighbouring houses after all the investment and development in the area over the last years.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
388	SA3526	Jim Powers and Olive Straker	Investment	The Government has invested millions of pounds in Broadwater Farm and huge sums of lottery money used to improve facilities at Lordship Park. I would be a shame to throw all that away to provide more housing that local people are unlikely to be able to afford.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
32	SA3527	Michael Spiers	Judicial review	The proposal is not in keeping with voting residents needs and would no doubt fall foul of any judicial review covering the use of devoted green recreational space being converted in this way	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
105	SA3528	Hugh Knopf	Land sales	Council should protest against central government's requirements to sell off land that supports communities and their health, wealth and well being.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
138	SA3529	Federico Calboli	Land swap	The council cannot make the case that an area of the same size will be transformed in a green space elsewhere given the lack of empty spaces in the first place, or that decreasing the size of Lordship Rec will not decrease its value as an open space.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
391	SA3530	Craig Kennedy	Leaseholders	Also, how will it affect leaseholders on the Farm should the plans to demolish go ahead?	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
257	SA3531	Broadwater Farm Residents'	Leaseholders	Homes in BWF tend to sell for a fairly low value of £100,000 – to £150,000. Property values in rest of Haringey and London are	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

		Association		much higher. Many leaseholders will have to face moving out of London even if they receive current market value for their homes.	these as, and if, they come forward.
150	SA3532	Tedd Lavia (local resident)	Local facilities	More homes will put pressure on NHS resources which are already overstretched.	The provision of health facilities will be addressed in the infrastructure delivery plan.
180	SA3533	L Miller (local resident)	Local facilities	Disruption to transport, local facilities and road access in surrounding areas	Any disruption associated with construction will be managed through the principals set out in the Councils' Sustainable Design and Construction SPD.
123	SA3534	Samantha, Zoe and Pamela Wyles	Local facilities	The area is already densely populated and cannot take any more people without investment in basic amenities such as schools and doctors.	The provision of health facilities and school places will be addressed in the infrastructure delivery plan.
173	SA3535	Jonathan Maris	Local facilities	Doctors, transport and amenities are already at capacity. Now new schools or hospitals are planned in the area to cope with more residents. Is there an explanation for this?	The provision of health facilities and school places will be addressed in the infrastructure delivery plan.
177	SA3536	Stella Smith	Local facilities	What are the proposals for broad water farm centre and Harmony Gardens? What will happen to the underprivileged children and adults who use these facilities?	There are no detailed proposals at this time.
183	SA3537	Michelle Lawson (local resident)	Local facilities	Does not support redevelopment of the estate as it represents a close knit community. The school and children's centre serve the wider area. The estate has fantastic facilities and decent houses it would be unthinkable to take these away.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
227	SA3538	A Crawford, K Docherty and J Crawford-Docherty	Local facilities	Concerned about the over development of the area and what guarantees will be put in place in regards to provision of extra school places, doctors surgeries and the provision of other services.	The provision of health facilities and school places will be addressed in the infrastructure delivery plan.
232	SA3539	Steve Hill (local resident)	Local facilities	More houses increases the demand for school and medical provision which is already stretched.	The provision of health facilities and school places will be addressed in the infrastructure delivery plan.
235	SA3540	Dara O'Reilly (local resident)	Local facilities	The impact on schools and local services has been considered sufficiently.	The provision of health facilities and school places will be addressed in the infrastructure delivery plan.
239	SA3541	Rose McFadzean	Local facilities	Strain on local services plans to build housing especially in park must be abandoned. Crowding will cause a repeat of the riots. People, especially youth need space.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
160	SA3542	Eva Atkins	Local facilities	Concerned schools and children's centre which are new haven't been ring fenced in the proposal. The community centre and gardens need to be protected and at least re-provided.	The provision of local infrastructure facilities will be addressed in the infrastructure delivery plan.
180	SA3543	L Miller (local resident)	Local facilities	More homes will impact NHS services which are already overstretched.	The provision of health facilities will be addressed in the infrastructure delivery plan.
150	SA3544	Tedd Lavia (local resident)	London Air Ambulance	London Air Ambulance uses northern part of ground for landing due to proximity to A10. Building would force the helicopter to land further away which could be life threatening.	Noted.
257	SA3545	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Mayor's homes target	Council officer indicated no evidence of structural problems at BWF and redevelopment would not lead to a net increased in number of houses therefore not contributing to Mayor's housing targets. Therefore what is the point of demolition and rebuilding?	There is evidence that some parts of the estate are poorly designed, and that the buildings cannot reasonably be refurbished to bring them up to a satisfactory standard. There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
241	SA3546	Maria Haidara (site resident)	More information	Isn't against proposed demolition of the estate.	Noted, however there are no detailed plans for demolition of the estate.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

251	SA3547	Inga Bystram	Moving	Very happy in local community with facilities close by. Concerns regarding ability to move including many books	Noted.
150	SA3548	Tedd Lavia (local resident)	Neighbour effects	Lack of consideration for residents who back on to the park. Loss of light, privacy and change of character to the surrounding properties.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
277	SA3549	Anonymous 2 (local resident)	Other areas	Note. This [representation] only mentions this area, many more housing sites in Edmonton, Enfield plus surrounding parts HELP!!	Noted. However, this is outside the area managed by Haringey Council
177	SA3550	Stella Smith	Overcrowding	Tottenham is already overcrowded and overcrowding can cause problems.	Noted.
145	SA3551	Lynda Jessopp	Phased development	Can development of BWF be phased so people can be decanted for a short period and then return to the estate?	Any redevelopment of the estate would need to be in phases.
214	SA3552	Simon Butterworth (adjacent resident)	Phased development	Phase development and manage vacancies in a way which permits redevelopment without the need to build on a protected park.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
213	SA3553	Elizabeth Adams (local resident)	Phased development	Should structural improvements be necessary (and there have already been many over the past few years) to the Farm buildings, they should be carried out in an incremental way that does not uproot people unnecessarily.	Any redevelopment of the estate would need to be in phases.
672	SA3554	Jonathan Maris	Phased development	Regarding the demolition and rebuilding of Broadwater Farm it is common and sensible practise to achieve such a project in phases with no need to move anyone from the site. As flats become available it is normal to move individuals and families from a certain part/phase, say one of the towers, into the flats that become empty. Once that phase is clear then it can be demolished and rebuilt. Move people from the next phase into the new empty part and repeat this process until all have been rehoused. This process negates the need to 'decant' anyone anywhere	Any redevelopment of the estate would need to be in phases.
149	SA3555	Martin Braund	Pollution	Pollution will create breathing problems in children and young people	Pollution from development will be controlled by the development management policies.
422	SA3556	Environment Agency	Potentially contaminated sites	National Planning Practice Guide paragraph 005 states that Local Plans should be clear on the role of developers and requirements for information and assessments in considering land contamination. We note that some of the above sites highlight that a study into potential contamination should be undertaken. The design guidelines would be improved highlighting that these sites lie in a Source Protection Zone as we will expect such sites to consider this receptor in any studies undertaken	Noted. Action: Add a design guideline setting out that the site lies in a Source Protection Zone as we will expect such sites to consider this receptor in any studies undertaken.
192	SA3557	London Waterkeeper	Precedent	It sets a worrying precedent that puts other green spaces at risk. While there is a need for more homes this should not be at the expense of the wider environment. London needs more high quality green space, not less. Parks are vital for health and must not be sacrificed for short term gain.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
253	SA3558	Sofie Pelsmakers (local resident)	Precedent	Sets dangerous precedent whereby in 20 years there is no more Lordship Rec as it has been permanently been paved over as has been sold off for 'much-needed houses' without regard for the fact with more people we need more not less open space.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

					from the allocation.
257	SA3559	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Priority need	Private tenants of leaseholders may end up homeless if houses are demolished and they do not fit into one of council's 'priority need' categories such as having a disability or dependent children.	This will be addressed through the council's rehousing strategy.
153	SA3560	Michael Hodges (local resident)	Private development	Council should not sell land for private development at the expense of council housing. It should be seeking ways of maintaining and increasing the number of council homes.	Noted.
162	SA3561	J Skinner (adjacent resident)	Private development	Private companies purchasing the land means concerns new houses will be overpriced and out of reach to existing families on the estate both social housing and home owners. This happened in Stratford where house prices are £440k	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
254	SA3562	Friends of Lordship Rec	Private development	Proposals would increase powers for property developers including the threat of building on Lordship Rec	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
250	SA3563	Yusuf Mertdjan	Private development	Differences in council website which indicates only council properties will be included but map shows private Lordship Lane houses included too.	It is the overall area which has to be considered to ensure the maximum public benefit can be achieved in any scheme.
145	SA3564	Lynda Jessopp	Private development	If the plan is to build for sale then it is even more outrageous to sacrifice a public green space.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
253	SA3565	Sofie Pelsmakers (local resident)	Private development	Decanting seems an excuse to transfer public land to private hands decreasing open space. Mixing tenures and communities is good but building on a park to create private flats with decanting social residents is inexcusable and deceptive. Often people are moved out of their communities – decanted- and meanwhile park is paved over forever.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
257	SA3566	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Private development	Under these plans developers could draw up plans to demolish housing on the site and provide new housing whether provided by a housing association or fully private sector housing	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
127	SA3567	Luci Davin (local resident)	Private development	Objects that the plan rather than increasing and improving social and affordable housing is to replace it with private sector housing which will be unaffordable to people who currently live in the area.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
256	SA3568	Reardon family	Process	Council website only provides preferred option not alternatives. No meaningful detail about what this will mean in order to make a meaningful response. All have gathered is that proposing to demolish houses for private homes.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
201	SA3569	Simon Way	Process	Aside from appeasing property developers can you explain thought process on this?	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
165	SA3570	F Fantahun	Process	Why is this beautiful area being demolished? What is the benefit to the community? What is wrong with the community and estate now? This is another way to make property developers richer and price out hard working people.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
188	SA3571	Albertina Benedetti-Hall	Property regulation	Look into the regulation of property: limiting the number of unoccupied, under-occupied or ill-occupied properties. Take on	The suggestions here are not within the gift of Planning.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

		(local resident)		the developers rather than handing them successful examples of community led regeneration. Stop selling council housing. There are alternative options which might be harder but are better policies in the long run.	
146	SA3572	Louise Hannah (local resident)	Property values	The park increases the value of property in its vicinity. The large space is needed to absorb the increasing number of people who use it as they move into the area, many with young families.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
105	SA3573	Hugh Knopf	Public assets	Opposed to privatisation of assets but thinks this is a central government position forced on local government.	Noted.
224	SA3574	Anonymous (local resident)	Redesign	One of the strange and sad things about the Rec is that people from the Estate hardly ever seem to use it. Suggest that the Rec should flow into the Estate without the separation of a road so that you can walk in a continuous green space from the centre of the Estate right to the best parts of the Rec like the hub without having to negotiate traffic or even a quiet road.	Noted.
85	SA3575	Nathan Wilson	Redevelopment	The impact of this project would be in no way salutary, necessitating the disfiguring of a much loved community amenity and antagonising local residents.	Objection is noted.
158	SA3576	Angela and Tony Baker	Redevelopment	Covers areas redeveloped recently with local community and Heritage lottery support. Why fix what isn't broken.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
167	SA3577	Reba Johnson	Redevelopment	This is a thriving place, so much time, effort and money have gone into the development of BWF. It is madness.	Objection is noted.
179	SA3578	Stephen and Susan Ellis	Redevelopment	Do not in principle object to redevelopment of BWF as is a bad 60s high rise which needs to be replaced. Money being spent is wasting resources on a bottomless money pit. Surely it is time to get rid of it.	Support for improvement of housing stock noted.
233	SA3579	Rockstone Foundation	Redevelopment	Redevelopment is necessary and welcomed however it needs consensus as to how it is done and who it affects.	Noted.
239	SA3580	Rose McFadzean	Redevelopment	This park is a much needed space for the growing local community. This area is already becoming overcrowded.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
160	SA3581	Eva Atkins	Redevelopment	Agree BWF needs a facelift. Residents must be guaranteed a new house in proximity to their jobs, schools and livelihoods. Private owners must be paid sufficiently so they can find a house of the same value in the same part of London.	Noted.
254	SA3582	Friends of Lordship Rec	Redevelopment zone	No information for why housing estates included in the north of the zone except in DM Policies where map 2.2 shows council wants to promote tall buildings across these low level estates.	The whole of the area is included to maximise the flexibility for a positive outcome for the area to be established.
272	SA3583	Mr R J Ferguson	Redevelopment zone	Other sites are no better. Somerset Close, Lido Square, Moira Close and Lordship Lane are full of residential properties and people. Where are they to go? Temporary accommodation elsewhere while buildings unnecessarily being rebuilt. More complication and expense.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
254	SA3584	Friends of	Redevelopment	Told redevelopment zone includes wider than just the estate and	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

		Lordship Rec	nt zone	building on Lordship Rec to re-house those displaced. It doesn't say whether temporarily or permanent.	allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
335	SA3585	Dr Michelle Northrop	Redevelopme nt zone	Concern with proposal to knock down Moira Close and other cul-de-sacs in the area.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
257	SA3586	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Refurbish	Residents' association wants existing housing improvements. Decent homes programme should be the way of doing this. New front doors, kitchens, bathrooms, good insulation, redecoration of communal areas and new attractive flooring are required.	Noted.
221	SA3587	Mr O'Connell (site resident)	Refurbish	Mr O'Connell is due to have Decent Homes renew his kitchen in June. Is this still going ahead?	Decent homes enquiries should be taken up with Homes for Haringey.
120	SA3588	Chris Hutton (site resident)	Refurbish	The condition of the housing stock can be improved by the expeditious implementation of the Decent Homes scheme.	Decent homes enquiries should be taken up with Homes for Haringey.
257	SA3589	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Refurbish	Demolition is not a way to 'improve stock'. No report exists that says the buildings are structurally unsound. Stock should be improved using Decent Homes work detailed above. All facilities and features serving and enhancing the estate should be treasured and protected.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
254	SA3590	Friends of Lordship Rec	Refurbish	Lots of work done over the years to improve estate and facilities. Council's duty as landlord to finish all the works started and ensure repairs are done promptly.	Noted, these are issues for Homes for Haringey.
119	SA3591	Ceri Williams (local resident)	Refurbish	Strong objection to plans. The estate should be refurbished not rebuilt.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
240	SA3592	Esther Pierce (local resident)	Refurbish	Reconsider plan and refurbish estate in a way that won't displace people and protect the park.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward. Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
242	SA3593	Karin Lock	Refurbish	All blocks proposed for demolition can be refurbished and re-landscaped to make them more attractive, If you must have mixed tenure then at least offer like-for like so no council units are lost.	Any proposed development will require that there be no net loss of affordable housing floorspace.
246	SA3594	John Mullee (local resident)	Refurbish	Reconsider plan and refurbish estate in a way that won't displace people and protect the park.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
253	SA3595	Sofie Pelsmakers (local resident)	Refurbish	Suggests refurbishment and infill instead of redevelopment. Similar to Aylesbury estate in South London. Or refurbished Grade II listed Park Hill in Sheffield by Urban Splash	Noted.
257	SA3596	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Refurbish	Residents and association have worked with council to dramatically improve BWF. It now has great facilities. Council's duty as landlord to finish the works started.	Noted, these are issues for Homes for Haringey.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

257	SA3597	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Refurbish	The only way to maintain the current supply of truly affordable housing in Tottenham an on BWF is to not carry out any demolitions of council homes.	Noted.
257	SA3598	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Refurbish	Claimed improvement of access to BWF. Only accept BWF being included in SA DPD if it is changed to clearly state no demolition or loss of green space. Given housing shortage schemes like this should not be carried out at the expense of home demolition. Only accept SA63 if changed to clearly state homes will be improved but not demolished.	The site allocation sets out requirements for development should the site come forward on the future. Its role is not to provide detailed plans on development. Lordship Recreation Ground has been removed from the allocation.
237	SA3599	Broadwater United Sports and Football Association	Refurbish	We will work in partnership with other community group against property developers after short term profits by displacing residents in order to build small, poor quality houses. Repeal plans in favour of ongoing refurbishment and maintenance of Lordship Rec facilities that are a model for community redevelopment throughout the country.	The site allocation provides for improvement of the estate and housing stock. No delivery model has been consulted on.
818	SA3600	Our Tottenham	Refurbish	In the last 6 years a range of refurbishments and repairs have been made - yet bizarrely it has now been put on a list of Council estates facing 'redevelopment'. We say it is the Council's duty as the landlord to finish off all the repair and refurbishment works started. Any proposal for demolition would cause massive stress to all concerned, displacement and disruption for years, and undermine all the successful efforts over decades to build a strong and stable local community and to improve local facilities.	Noted, these are issues for Homes for Haringey. There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
166	SA3601	Janet Lallysmith	Refurbish	More cost effective to repair than demolish.	The costs of all options will be assessed when any detailed plans for housing improvements are considered.
345	SA3602	S. Dobie	Refurbish	Money has been spent on Broadwater Farm and it does not make sense to demolish it. Focus should be on improving existing estate.	The improvement of the estate is the aim of this site allocation.
341	SA3603	Jennie Pedley	Refurbish	Broadwater Farm needs repairs rather than demolishing.	Noted.
188	SA3604	Albertina Benedetti-Hall (local resident)	Rental regulation	Need a sound rental regulation like in the rest of Europe and need to treat housing as the vital social necessity that it is not an investment vehicle.	Noted.
695	SA3605	Russell Dove, local resident	Requirements for plans	There is insufficient information to make any assessment of the nature of the plans to redevelop the Broadwater Farm Estate, which is scheduled for 2020+. This is itself is likely to be extremely contentious, not least because of the policies of not replacing social housing in equal numbers referred to above. Any proposed demolition must be based on clear, transparent and unequivocal evidence. Any structural assessment on which a proposed demolition is based must be independent, published in full, and subject to verification and challenge in public. Any less than this will appear dishonest.	The plan sets requirements for development should the site come forward in the future for development. Its role is not to provide detailed plans on development. Any proposed development would be subject to full planning processes.
161	SA3606	Fr James Hill	Riots	This planning could lead to social unrest and result in riots again as young people are disaffected and whose energy is mis-channelled destructively.	Noted.
243	SA3607	Tower Gardens Residents Group (local residents)	Site allocation	Asks council to amends site plan and preserve and enhance area and guarantee the park for future generations.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
253	SA3608	Sofie Pelsmakers	Site allocation	Concerned about the deception of not calling SA63 BWF and	Noted.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

		(local resident)		Lordship Rec. Suggests council left it off because knew it would be controversial. Failure to be honest and transparent is unethical, deceptive and unacceptable. Expected more from a Labour council.	
257	SA3609	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Site allocation	Worried words 'improve stock' bear no relation to these aspirations instead could facilitate wholesale demolition and rebuilding.	The principal objective of carrying out housing investment is to build more, affordable, homes. A delivery model has not been consulted on.
48	SA3610	Tottenham and Wood Green Friends of the Earth	Site allocation	Site allocation does not indicate –other than by a red line –the park will be built on. Told councils plan would be to use it to decant tenants. No incursion in to park is acceptable. If decanting is necessary should be built into council's plan for other sites.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
247	SA3611	Christopher Currie (local resident)	Site allocation	Site indirectly described as residential when in fact it includes a third of Lordship Rec. The rec has had extensive funding and voluntary help from bodies involved with Lordship Rec Forum.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
579	SA3612	Laura Harrison, resident	Site allocation	I am extremely perturbed that the red outline indicates possible development on Lordship rec itself, although this is not explained in the text. Building on any public open green space, let alone an award-winning Green flag park, would be absolutely unacceptable under any circumstances.	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation. Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
695	SA3613	Russell Dove, local resident	Site allocation	Furthermore, the "red lines" outlining areas suitable for development also now condemn residents in any housing within them to planning blight. These red lines must be withdrawn.	There are no plans for demolitions, or detailed plans for regeneration at this stage. Local community will be consulted on these as, and if, they come forward.
818	SA3614	Our Tottenham	Site allocation	For the sites SA57 (Park View and Durnsford Road), SA63 (Broadwater Farm), SA66 (Leabank and Lemsford Close), this means that we demand the inclusion of the following principles in the SA DPD: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No estate regeneration programme should go ahead without a meaningful and fair process of consultation, involvement and empowerment of the existing residents as the drivers of all the decision-making related to their homes. Such programmes should prioritize improvements to the existing housing estates and their amenities (e.g. finish the Decent Homes Works, concierges, landscaping, community facilities), for the benefit of the current occupants. There should be no demolition of structurally sound housing There should be absolutely NO NET LOSS of social housing unit and no displacement of existing tenants as part of any plan for the area. 	It is agreed that site masterplanning involving resident engagement will be included in all housing estate renewal schemes. There is a clear statement in the Strategic Policies that there will be no net loss of affordable housing. It is considered that where opportunities to build significant quantum of additional affordable housing exist, the structural stability of the existing stock should not be the determining factor. The Council will seek to ensure that all stock, old and new, is of a high quality.
234	SA3615	Asher Jacobsberg (adjacent resident)	Social cleansing	So called affordable housing very seldom is, especially for those in Tottenham. Allowing private development even with 'affordable housing' will lead to gentrification/ social cleansing. This is not what I want. We want the area to be genuinely affordable and diverse.	Noted.
248	SA3616	Stuart Philip Gillings and Ruth Pattison (adjacent residents)	Social cleansing	Economic and social consequences will be social cleansing, dislocating low income tenants in favour of developers and purchasers (for investment) of high rise apartments. Similar to Woodberry Down.	The principal objective of carrying out housing investment is to build more, affordable, homes. A delivery model has not been consulted on.
249	SA3617	Hornsey Action	Social	This will lead to displacement of established communities out of	This is not the aim of the policy.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

		Group	cleansing	Haringey.	
571	SA3618	Matthew Bradby, Chair, Tottenham Civic Society	Social costs	In our view the social and environmental costs of demolishing Broadwater Farm Estate and surrounding buildings are not justified.	The allocation allows for improvements to the existing estate and housing stock. No delivery model has been consulted on therefore there are no costs to be quantified.
681	SA3619	Olivia Festy	Support	We are very keen on the regeneration.	Noted.
224	SA3620	Anonymous (local resident)	Support	I am very strongly in favour of this redevelopment idea. We need more housing and Broadwater Farm, though much better than it was, is unsightly and poorly designed. There is a lot of green space there that is hardly used at all and which could be used better. Housing is in desperately short supply and compromises have to be made. This is a sensible one that will benefit the community enormously. A big thumbs up.	Support is noted.
255	SA3621	Turkish Tangmere Steering Group	Support	In agreement with statement “potential improvements of the housing estate to improve stock, design of the site and routes through the area”.	Support noted.
277	SA3622	Anonymous 2 (local resident)	Support	More housing and improved facilities for this area	Noted.
222	SA3623	Fraser Borwick	Support cautiously	Broadwater Farm estate badly needs refurbishment or redevelopment as the concrete buildings are coming to the end of their lives. The design of the estate is very dated. I cautiously support the plans with the following caveats.	Support is noted.
254	SA3624	Friends of Lordship Rec	Support from other groups	Friends have the support of the following groups for this objection: - Broadwater Farm Residents Association - Broadwater United Sports and Football Association - Broadwater Farm Children's Centre Parents Forum - St Benet Fink Church - Lordship Rec Users Forum (representing all the Lordship Rec user groups and other stakeholders) - Tottenham Clouds - Strawbridge Court Residents association - Tower Gardens Residents Group - London Waterkeepers - Back 2 Earth - Haringey Green Party - Haringey Play Association - Lordship Rec Eco-Hub Co-operative - Omega Works Tenants Association - Tottenham Civic Society - Higham Road Allotments Association	Council notes the support of this representation from other organisations.
254	SA3625	Friends of Lordship Rec	Support other representation	Support objections made by BWF Residents Association and Broadwater United.	Support of other representations noted.
254	SA3626	Friends of Lordship Rec	Support other representations	Support for views in Tottenham Civic Society objection.	Noted.
562	SA3627	Cllr John Bevan	Supports Limited Redevelopment	I am concerned that this site is listed as a whole and that the first possible development has not been listed separately. From my knowledge, obtained when I was Cabinet Member for Housing, I would request the following that the first part of this estate to be developed should be shown as a separate area	Proposal is noted. There are no detailed plans at this time, and the overall Council view is that the whole area should be considered in the round to explore all avenues in maximising public benefit.

				<p>namely Tangmere and The Enterprise Centre.</p> <p>My detailed knowledge informs the following. That Tangmere and The Enterprise Centre should be demolished and subject to an overall plan being developed for this estate the area grassed over, subject to a one stop local supermarket being provided, as these two buildings are the most problematic and beyond refurbishment.</p> <p>Accommodation for the present residents of Tangmere can be provided by new build on the adjacent vacant Broad Water Lodge site and the adjacent vacant boarded up unused school building site. It should be an aspiration to replace The Enterprise Centre with a national brand one stop local supermarket, perhaps with accommodation above.</p> <p>As stated both of the above mentioned buildings are beyond any repair or refurbishment.</p> <p>Concerning the rest of this estate there should be no presumption to demolish, every effort should be made to study the possibilities of creative transformation of the remaining estate.</p> <p>It is my understanding that the local Residents Association would be basically supportive of the above submission</p>	
255	SA3628	Turkish Tangmere Steering Group	Tangmere	In favour of demolition as damp, water leaking and health problems.	Noted, however there are no current plans for demolition of any buildings within Broadwater Farm.
257	SA3629	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Tangmere	Tangmere residents are being consulted in Homes for Haringey steering group where demolition has been discussed. Concern due to leaks and anti-social behaviour. Given no evidence of structural problems it is suggested problems at Tangmere could be better addressed through better management and maintenance and does not seem demolition is necessary.	Noted.
1	SA3630	Mr Cosgun (site resident)	Tangmere	Supports demolition, redesign and relocation of Tangmere block.	Noted, however there are no current plans for demolition of any buildings within Broadwater Farm.
257	SA3631	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Tangmere	Any problems in Tangmere should not be used as a 'Trojan horse' for facilitating demolitions of other blocks. The current site allocation would allow proposals for demolition of all blocks not just Tangmere.	The allocation allows for improvements to the existing estate and housing stock. No delivery model has been consulted on
257	SA3632	Broadwater Farm Residents' Association	Tangmere	If Tangmere is considered for demolition why doesn't plan indicate other buildings won't be demolished? This does not support demolition of Tangmere. Consider necessary repairs should be completed.	The allocation allows for improvements to the existing estate and housing stock. No delivery model has been consulted on
255	SA3633	Turkish Tangmere Steering Group	Tangmere	Repairs are a problem and never end.	Noted.
151	SA3634	Sylvia Oland (local resident)	Temporary buildings	Any temporary buildings will not be temporary. Stupid to build temporary buildings at great cost and then demolish after.	There is no reference to temporary buildings in the site allocation.
222	SA3635	Fraser Borwick	Temporary housing	Can a guarantee be given that the areas of Lordship recreation ground outlined in red will be returned to public open space at the end of the development, or will it remain as housing by default?	<p>Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation.</p> <p>Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.</p>
571	SA3636	Matthew Bradby, Chair, Tottenham Civic Society	Tower Gardens Conservation	Opposite the Rec, on the northern side of Lordship Lane, is the long parade of 1920s neo-classical model housing forming the southern boundary of Tower Gardens estate, which is a	Noted. Lordship Recreation ground has been removed from the site allocation.

Appendix F (16) Site Allocations consultation report

			Area	conservation area. These homes have just been restored under Decent Homes funding. They are also designated Article 4. Their position facing the open space of the Recreation Ground, with uninterrupted light from the south, is a key feature of the conservation area.	Action: Amend boundary to exclude Lordship Recreation Ground from the allocation.
222	SA3637	Fraser Borwick	Underground carparking	Can you put the bulk of the car parking in underground car parks, so that we have ground floor dwellings, which would make a much more welcoming design. It is currently not exactly welcoming.	This is a detailed design issue which would be addressed if the site comes up for development.
122	SA3638	Emily Unell	Undermine work	This disruption would undermine all the work done in the recent years to provide better resources and infrastructure for the community.	
277	SA3639	Anonymous 2 (local resident)	Utilities	Against: requirement for more electricity, water, sewage, gas services etc	Noted.
105	SA3640	Hugh Knopf	Wealth distribution	Council should blame central government and systems of wealth distribution that benefit some to the detriment of local communities.	Noted.
242	SA3641	Karin Lock	Woodberry Down	We have seen what happened at Woodberry Down where flats are sold off-plan to Asian buyers.	Noted.
166	SA3642	Janet Lallysmith	Young people	Letting young people down by closing youth centres. Don't take homes, future and recreational facilities too.	Noted. It is hoped that the local community can be involved in shaping the area, and that appropriate infrastructure can be provided.